Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields



Quarterly

Volume XVI Issue 3(35) Fall 2025

ISSN: 2068 – 7710

Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref



Volume XVI Issue 3(35) Fall 2025

Editor in Chief			
PhD Laura UNGUREANU		Table of Contents	
Spiru Haret University, Romania			
Editorial Advisory Board Aleksandar Vasilev		An Application of the Generalized Method of Moments on the Mankiw-Romer-	
International Business School, University	1	Weil Model	53
of Lincoln, UK		Arpan CHAKRABORTY, Siddhartha CHATTOPADHYAY, Sohini SAHU	
Germán Martinez Prats		The Economic Impact of Real-Time Connectivity and User Readiness on	
Juárez Autonomous University of Tabasco, Mexic	2	Digital Health Adoption: An Extended TAM Perspective	54
Alessandro Morselli		Anh Viet TRAN, Bui Thanh KHOA	
University of Rome Sapienza, Italy	2	Behavioural Insights for Strategic Planning	EEC
The Kien Nguyen Vietnam National University, Vietnam	3	Emerson Abraham JACKSON	559
Emerson Abraham Jackson		The Influence of the Internet on Consumer Durchesing Behavior serves	
Bank of Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone	4	The Influence of the Internet on Consumer Purchasing Behavior across Different Product Categories	564
Tamara Todorova	_	Marko ŠOSTAR, Kavya Sujith KUMAR, Magdalena DRAŽETIĆ	00-
American University in Bulgaria, Bulgaria Fatoki Olawale Olufunso			
University of Limpopo, South Africa		Socio-Economic Resilience of Ukraine as an Imperative of the Policy of Strengthening Migration Security and Minimizing Vulnerability	
Mădălina Constantinescu	5	Olha MULSKA, Taras VASYLTSIV, Ulana IVANIUK,	588
Spiru Haret University, Romania		Maryana ROMANCHUKEVYCH, Valentyna KUTSYK, Volodymyr IABLON	
Esmaeil Ebadi		Impacts and Challenges of Digital Technology on Cooperatives in Bali	
Gulf University for Science and Technology, Kuwait		Province	645
Alessandro Saccal	6	Surya Dewi RUSTARIYUNI, M. PUDJIHARDJO,	617
Independent researcher, Italy		M. Umar BURHAN, Dias SATRIA	
Lesia Kucher		The Effectiveness of Al Chatbots in Business	
Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine	7	Sergiy SPIVAKOVSKYY, Alina DANILEVIČA, Volodymyr SAMCHUK,	631
Hardy Hanappi		A. S. FOMIN, Kostyantin MILONUSHKIN	
VIPER - Vienna Institute for Political		Behavioral and Experimental Economics and Financial Behavior: A Cross-	
Economy Research, Austria Philippe Boyer	8	Country Comparative Study	641
Académie d'Agriculture de France, France		Kutlu ERGÜN	
Malika Neifar		Drivers of the Smart Green Economy in Rural Settings: An Empirical Study on	0 = 4
University of Sfax, Tunisia	9	Local Wisdom, ICT, and the Mediating Role of Entrepreneurship Fredi ANDRIA, Eneng Tita TOSIDA, Roni JAYAWINANGUN, Jumadil SAPUTRA	656
Nazaré da Costa Cabral Center for Research in European,			
Economic, Financial and Tax Law of the		The Role of Digital Technologies in Creating Competitive Advantages of	
University of Lisbon, Portugal	10	Modern Entrepreneurship Roman PROKHORUK, Andrian ULINICI, Orysia VASYLYNA,	668
Jumadil Saputra University of Malaysia Terengganu,		Anastasiia BILOUS, Alla GRECHAN	
Malaysia Malaysia referiggand,		Is the Digital Economy Partnership Agreement Effective in Export of Digitally	
Michael Emmett Brady	11	Deliverable Services? The Case of Türkiye	680
California State University, United States	' '	Mustafa Engin TÜRKARSLAN	000
Mina Fanea-Ivanovici Bucharest University of Economic Studies,		Contemporary Analysis of Economic Multipliers in the Jordanian Economy:	
Romania		An Updated Input-Output Approach	
Bakhyt Altynbassov	12	Hamza Mohammed MASHAQBEH, Hassan Ali AL-ABABNEH,	697
University of Bristol, United Kingdom		Anas Taleb AT-TARAWNEH, Mohammad Matroud AL-SMEIRAN,	
Theodore Metaxas University of Thessaly, Greece		Olha POPOVA	
Elia Fiorenza			
University of Calabria, Italy			
ASERS Publishing ISSN 2068 – 7710			
Journal's Issue DOI:			
https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v16.3(35).00			

Volume XVI Issue 3(35) Fall 2025

University of Thessaly, Greece

ASERS Publishing
http://www.asers.eu/asers-publishing
ISSN 2068 – 7710
Journal's Issue DOI:
https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v16.3(35).00

University of Calabria, Italy

Elia Fiorenza

Fall 2025			
Editor in Chief PhD Laura UNGUREANU Spiru Haret University, Romania Editorial Advisory Board	13	Competition in an Audit Segmented Market: Evidence from the Nigerian Listed Non-Financial Firms Eghosa Godwin INNEH, Tajudeen John AYOOLA, Lawrence Ogechukwu OBOKOH	712
Aleksandar Vasilev International Business School, University of Lincoln, UK Germán Martinez Prats Juárez Autonomous University of	14	A Multivariate Framework for MSME Financial Performance: Financial and Strategic Determinants in Developing Island Economies Maria Magdalena BATE'E, Khaira Amalia FACHRUDIN, SYAHYUNAN, Amlys Syahputra SILALAHI	731
Tabasco, Mexic Alessandro Morselli University of Rome Sapienza, Italy The Kien Nguyen	15	Exploring Social Media's Power in Enhancing Brand Continuance Intention: Cosmetic Industry Giang NGUYEN THI PHUONG, Duy NGUYEN BINH PHUONG, Tan THAI DONG	746
Vietnam National University, Vietnam Emerson Abraham Jackson Bank of Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone Tamara Todorova American University in Bulgaria, Bulgaria	16	Digital Adoption and Price Discovery in Shadows: Evidence from Indian IPO Grey Markets between 2016-2025 B. SURESHA, T.A KRISHNA, Elizabeth Renju KOSHY, Rejoice THOMAS, C. KARTHICK	760
Fatoki Olawale Olufunso University of Limpopo, South Africa Mădălina Constantinescu Spiru Haret University, Romania	17	Democratic Transition and Independence of the Central Bank: The Case of Tunisia Dorra ABBES	772
Esmaeil Ebadi Gulf University for Science and Technology, Kuwait	18	Assessing the Evolving Landscape of Anti-Money Laundering Laws in China and Their Impact on Banking Institutions Zeyu ZHAO, Aspalella A. RAHMAN, Che Thalbi BT MD. ISMAIL	785
Alessandro Saccal Independent researcher, Italy Lesia Kucher Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine	19	The Impact of Digitalization of Social Services on the Economic Efficiency of Public Expenditure Vita CHERBA, Tetiana KORIAHINA, Olena RIABOSHAPKA, Arsen PETROSYAN, Andrey SKRYLNIK	794
Hardy Hanappi VIPER - Vienna Institute for Political Economy Research, Austria Philippe Boyer	20	Does Agricultural Productivity Drive Economic Growth? Evidence from Developed and Developing Countries within the RCEP Bloc Ching Ling THONG, Mohammad Affendy BIN ARIP, Chien Ling THONG	804
Académie d'Agriculture de France, France Malika Neifar University of Sfax, Tunisia Nazaré da Costa Cabral Center for Research in European,	21	Exchange, Property Rights and Transaction Cost: An Institutional Analysis Alessandro MORSELLI	818
Economic, Financial and Tax Law of the University of Lisbon, Portugal Jumadil Saputra University of Malaysia Terengganu,			
Malaysia Michael Emmett Brady California State University, United States Mina Fanea-Ivanovici Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania			
Bakhyt Altynbassov University of Bristol, United Kingdom Theodore Metaxas			

Call for Papers Winter Issue Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields

Many economists today are concerned by the proliferation of journals and the concomitant labyrinth of research to be conquered in order to reach the specific information they require. To combat this tendency, Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields has been conceived and designed outside the realm of the traditional economics journal. It consists of concise communications that provide a means of rapid and efficient dissemination of new results, models, and methods in all fields of economic research.

Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields publishes original articles in all branches of economics – theoretical and practical, abstract, and applied, providing wide-ranging coverage across the subject area.

Journals promote research that aim at the unification of the theoretical-quantitative and the empirical-quantitative approach to economic problems and that are penetrated by constructive and rigorous thinking. It explores a unique range of topics from the frontier of theoretical developments in many new and important areas, to research on current and applied economic problems, to methodologically innovative, theoretical, and applied studies in economics. The interaction between practical work and economic policy is an important feature of the journal.

Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields is indexed in Scopus, RePec, ProQuest, Cabell Directories and CEEOL databases.

The primary aim of the Journal has been and remains the provision of a forum for the dissemination of a variety of international issues, practical research, and other matters of interest to researchers and practitioners in a diversity of subject areas linked to the broad theme of economic sciences.

At the same time, the journal encourages the interdisciplinary approach within economic sciences, this being a challenge for all researchers.

The advisory board of the journal includes distinguished scholars who have fruitfully straddled disciplinary boundaries in their academic research.

All the papers will be first considered by the Editors for general relevance, originality, and significance. If accepted for review, papers will then be subject to double blind peer review.

Deadline for submission of proposals: 10th of November 2025 Expected publication date: 30th of December 2025

Website: http://journals.aserspublishing.eu/tpref

E-mail: tpref@aserspublishing.eu

To prepare your paper for submission, please see full author guidelines in the following file: https://journals.aserspublishing.eu/tpref/Template for Authors TPREF.docx on our site.



DOI: https://.doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v16.3(35).03

Behavioural Insights for Strategic Planning

Emerson Abraham JACKSON Bank of Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone ORCID: 0000-0002-2802-6152; Researcher ID: 1-29462019 ejackson1@bsl.gov.sl

Article info: Received 19 February 2025; Received in revised form 2 March 2025; Accepted for publication 22 April 2025; Published 30 June 2025. Copyright© 2025 The Author(s). Published by ASERS Publishing. This is an open access article under the CC-BY 4.0 license.

Abstract: This paper explores the integration of behavioural economics into strategic planning frameworks to enhance decision-making in uncertain and complex environments. By unpacking foundational concepts such as cognitive biases, heuristics, and bounded rationality, the discussion challenges traditional assumptions of rational economic behaviour. Practical tools such as nudging, framing, and choice architecture are evaluated for their efficacy in influencing consumer and employee behaviour. The study further distinguishes between risk and uncertainty, proposing adaptive strategies like scenario planning and real options analysis to improve organisational resilience. Drawing on behavioural game theory, the paper offers insights into market competition, highlighting real-world case studies across industries. These show the practical utility of behavioural approaches in achieving sustainable competitive advantage and strategic goals.

Keywords: behavioural economics; strategic planning; uncertainty management; scenario planning; cognitive biases.

JEL Classification: D01; D81; L21; M31; A14.

Introduction

In an increasingly complex and volatile business environment, organisations are under continuous pressure to make strategic decisions that not only respond to immediate challenges but also prepare for long-term uncertainties. Traditional strategic planning has long been rooted in the assumption that decision-makers act rationally, consistently evaluating costs and benefits to arrive at optimal choices. However, insights from behavioural economics reveal a more intricate reality: human decisions are frequently influenced by cognitive limitations, emotional responses, and contextual factors. This departure from classical rational choice theory forms the foundation for integrating behavioural insights into strategic planning. The emerging discipline of behavioural economics, shaped by pioneering work from scholars such as Kahneman and Tversky (1979), proposes that individuals deviate from rationality in systematic and predictable ways. Their contributions, especially through the development of prospect theory, have provided robust explanations for why individuals often make inconsistent choices when faced with risk and uncertainty. Such insights are invaluable for organisations aiming to formulate strategies that align with how individuals - be they consumers, employees, or stakeholders - actually behave, rather than how they are assumed to behave.

In the context of strategic planning, acknowledging behavioural tendencies enables business leaders to adopt more adaptive, responsive, and ultimately effective strategies. Cognitive phenomena such as bounded rationality, overconfidence, anchoring bias, and loss aversion challenge the reliability of purely data-driven models. Instead, these behavioural patterns call for an approach that incorporates psychological realism into strategic thinking. Strategic planners can no longer rely solely on forecasts, quantitative models, or historical data; they must also consider how perception, memory, and emotion shape decision-making processes. By embedding behavioural principles into their frameworks, organisations can design interventions that mitigate biases, improve judgement, and encourage more rational outcomes. This is particularly relevant in contexts where uncertainty is pervasive and where human behaviour significantly impacts strategic outcomes. Through the adoption of behavioural insights, firms are better positioned to develop strategies that are both flexible and robust, accommodating the often-unpredictable nature of individual and group decision-making.

Research Background

The theoretical underpinnings of behavioural economics represent a significant departure from the foundational assumptions of neoclassical economics. Central to this shift is the recognition that individuals do not consistently behave in ways that maximise utility. Instead, decisions are shaped by a host of psychological, social, and cognitive influences. Daniel Kahneman and Amos Tversky's (1979) prospect theory marked a seminal moment in this evolution by demonstrating that people evaluate potential gains and losses asymmetrically. This principle, known as loss aversion, suggests that individuals are more sensitive to losses than to gains of equivalent value. The implication for strategic planning is profound: business leaders may resist abandoning failing projects or hesitate to pursue innovative opportunities due to an exaggerated fear of loss. The theoretical contributions of Kahneman and Tversky thus provide a compelling framework for understanding deviations from rational behaviour, enabling the design of strategies that better reflect actual decision-making patterns.

Beyond prospect theory, other heuristics and biases have been extensively documented in the literature. For instance, the availability heuristic - whereby individuals judge the likelihood of events based on the ease with which examples come to mind - can lead to distorted perceptions of risk and probability. This may result in strategic overreactions to recent events or underestimations of long-term threats. Phillips (1983) posited that while heuristics serve as useful cognitive shortcuts in complex decision environments, they also introduce systematic errors that must be accounted for. Another influential concept is bounded rationality, introduced by Herbert Simon, which contends that individuals operate within the constraints of limited information, time, and cognitive processing power. Rather than seeking optimal solutions, decision-makers often settle for "satisficing" options - those that are good enough under the circumstances. This view aligns more closely with how real-world strategic decisions are made, particularly in high-stakes, uncertain conditions where exhaustive analysis is impractical.

The behavioural literature also highlights the importance of social influence in shaping economic behaviour. Cialdini's (2007) work on persuasion and social norms reveals how individuals are often guided by what others around them are doing, rather than by independent evaluation of options. This has implications for both consumer behaviour and internal organisational dynamics, as conformity, groupthink, and status signalling can significantly impact strategic outcomes. Furthermore, biases such as overconfidence - where individuals overestimate their abilities and the accuracy of their knowledge - can skew forecasts, resource allocation, and risk assessments (Jackson, 2016). Anchoring bias, where initial information serves as a cognitive reference point, can unduly influence subsequent decisions. These behavioural anomalies collectively represent critical variables that must be addressed in any strategic planning process that aspires to realism and effectiveness. By embedding these insights into business strategy, organisations can more accurately predict behaviour, avoid common decision-making traps, and create strategies that are both practical and psychologically informed.

Methodology

The methodological approach adopted in this paper is predominantly qualitative, drawing on an extensive review of scholarly literature, industry reports, and documented organisational practices to explore the intersection of behavioural economics and strategic planning. Rather than relying on statistical inference or experimental design, the analysis employs conceptual synthesis and comparative case study methods to derive insights. This choice reflects the interpretative nature of the subject matter, which seeks to understand human behaviour in complex organisational settings where controlled experiments may not be feasible or appropriate. The objective is to develop a rich and contextual understanding of how behavioural insights can be operationalised in strategic frameworks, particularly in environments characterised by high uncertainty and dynamic change.

The conceptual component of the methodology involves a thematic analysis of key theoretical constructs in behavioural economics - such as cognitive biases, heuristics, and decision-making under uncertainty - and their relevance to strategic planning. Thematic coding and cross-referencing were used to identify recurrent patterns and themes in the literature, which were then mapped onto practical business contexts. Case-based evaluation complements this theoretical grounding by examining real-world examples of organisations that have successfully integrated behavioural principles into their strategic processes. These include multinational firms across sectors such as energy, technology, and finance. Cases were selected for their illustrative value, diversity, and documented outcomes, allowing for both cross-case comparison and context-specific interpretation.

Scenario planning is examined through an adapted version of Royal Dutch Shell's (2013) methodology, which incorporates behavioural inputs such as optimism bias and framing effects. This hybrid model allows for the creation of more realistic, psychologically grounded future scenarios. Behavioural modelling is further employed through the application of behavioural game theory and behavioural portfolio theory, which provide frameworks

for understanding strategic interactions and investment decisions in environments where psychological factors are at play. These models, though not quantitatively tested in this paper, are explored conceptually to demonstrate their practical implications. Overall, the methodology balances rigour with flexibility, providing a comprehensive and multi-faceted exploration of behavioural strategic planning that bridges theory and practice.

Discussions

The application of behavioural economics within strategic planning offers a paradigm shift in how organisations approach uncertainty and decision-making. One of the most significant contributions of behavioural economics is its ability to account for the psychological realities of how decisions are made, especially under conditions of uncertainty. Uncertainty, unlike risk, is not quantifiable in probabilistic terms, and this distinction has critical implications for strategy development. As outlined by Barrie and Jackson (2022), businesses often operate in environments where the future is shaped by unpredictable changes in technology, regulation, consumer behaviour, and macroeconomic conditions. In such contexts, conventional planning models fall short. Behavioural responses to uncertainty - such as ambiguity aversion and status quo bias - can lead firms to adopt overly cautious or inflexible strategies, thereby missing out on potential innovations or opportunities. These insights reinforce the need for strategic approaches that are adaptive and psychologically realistic.

Integrating behavioural insights into scenario planning further enhances strategic agility. Traditional scenario planning has often been limited by linear thinking and a reliance on historical data. However, incorporating behavioural variables such as framing effects, anchoring, and recency bias allows planners to create more dynamic and diverse scenarios. For example, organisations like Shell and Unilever have adopted behaviourally informed scenario planning to anticipate climate-related and geopolitical shifts. This method enables leaders to consider not only external variables but also the likely behavioural reactions of stakeholders, including regulators, consumers, and competitors. Techniques such as stress testing and sensitivity analysis (Bouwman *et al.* 2018) complement this approach by rigorously evaluating the robustness of proposed strategies. The result is a planning process that not only anticipates a wider range of outcomes but also embeds psychological realism into every stage of strategic design.

Competitive strategy also benefits from a behavioural reinterpretation. Classic models such as Porter's Five Forces (1979) are enhanced when viewed through the lens of cognitive bias. For instance, loss aversion may explain why incumbents react aggressively to new entrants, while overconfidence can lead to irrational price wars or unsustainable market expansions. Furthermore, behavioural game theory expands on traditional game theory by acknowledging that competitive players are not always purely rational but are influenced by perceptions of fairness, reciprocity, and trust. These social preferences can shape alliances, negotiations, and rivalries in ways that classical models cannot predict. Companies that grasp these behavioural nuances are better equipped to develop strategies that exploit competitor biases or foster mutually beneficial outcomes.

Beyond competitive dynamics, behavioural economics has practical applications in consumer marketing, organisational leadership, and public policy. Marketers leverage heuristics and emotional triggers to shape buying decisions, using tools such as framing, scarcity appeals, and social proof to influence behaviour. In organisational settings, behavioural insights help leaders understand what motivates employees, how teams make decisions, and why certain cultural patterns persist. For instance, understanding the sunk cost fallacy allows firms to exit failing projects more efficiently, while awareness of groupthink promotes a culture of dissent and critical thinking. Public institutions have similarly adopted behavioural insights to craft more effective health, environmental, and financial policies. These applications highlight the versatility of behavioural economics and its potential to improve outcomes across both private and public domains.

Furthermore, behavioural pricing strategies illustrate how consumer psychology can be leveraged to enhance revenue. Price anchoring, where the initial price sets the reference point for subsequent judgments, is a commonly used technique in retail and e-commerce. Businesses that understand and implement such strategies can better position their products and increase conversions. Likewise, in financial markets, behavioural portfolio theory has provided a more realistic framework for understanding investor behaviour. It recognises that investors are not solely concerned with returns and volatility but also with emotional comfort and perceived control. Mutual funds and advisory services that adopt these principles have shown improved client retention and investment outcomes. Collectively, these examples demonstrate that behavioural economics does not merely critique classical assumptions—it offers actionable insights that improve strategic decision-making in tangible ways.

Conclusions and Further Research

In sum, this paper has illuminated the vital role of behavioural insights in enhancing the strategic planning processes of contemporary organisations. The limitations of the rational actor model have long been acknowledged, but only with the rise of behavioural economics has a comprehensive alternative framework emerged - one that accounts for the cognitive, emotional, and social dimensions of decision-making. By recognising and addressing common cognitive biases - such as overconfidence, anchoring, and loss aversion organisations can correct flawed assumptions, make better-informed decisions, and design strategies that align more closely with actual human behaviour. The incorporation of tools such as nudging, framing, and choice architecture empowers firms to guide both consumer and employee behaviour in ways that support broader strategic objectives.

Equally important is the behavioural understanding of uncertainty and risk. The distinction between these concepts demands a departure from rigid, deterministic planning models in favour of more flexible and adaptive approaches. Scenario planning, when infused with behavioural realism, equips organisations to anticipate and respond to a wider range of future possibilities. Techniques such as real options analysis and modular strategy development offer pathways for businesses to remain agile in the face of volatility. Real-world case studies from sectors as diverse as energy, finance, technology, and healthcare underscore the practical efficacy of these approaches Jackson, 2024). They reveal how organisations that integrate behavioural insights are not only more resilient but also more innovative and customer centric.

Moreover, the behavioural reinterpretation of competitive strategy and pricing enables firms to achieve differentiation and market positioning based on a deeper understanding of consumer psychology and competitor behaviour. Concepts from behavioural game theory, behavioural finance, and motivational psychology provide a richer toolkit for strategists. These approaches recognise that human behaviour in the marketplace is rarely linear or rational; it is shaped by context, perception, and emotion. By accounting for these influences, businesses can formulate strategies that are more attuned to the real world and thus more likely to succeed.

Ultimately, the insights offered in this paper advocate for a strategic paradigm that is not only analytical but also behavioural. In a world characterised by rapid change, global interdependence, and complex stakeholder ecosystems, such a paradigm is no longer optionality is essential. For strategists, policymakers, and business leaders alike, the message is clear: embracing behavioural economics is not merely a theoretical exercise but a practical imperative. It provides the cognitive tools and empirical evidence needed to navigate uncertainty, engage stakeholders meaningfully, and build strategies that are not only robust and flexible but also human-centred and ethically grounded.

Credit Authorship Contribution Statement

Emerson Abraham Jackson: The named author is responsible for all of the following tasks: Conceptualisation, Investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software, Formal analysis, Writing – original draft, Supervision, Data curation, Validation, Writing – review and editing, and Visualization.

Declaration of Competing Interest

None.

Declaration of Use of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies

Despite the use of the internet to explore thought processes, this is an original work produced by the author without reliance on Generative AI or AI-Assisted Technologies.

References

- [1] Ariely, D. (2008). Predictably Irrational: The Hidden Forces That Shape Our Decisions. HarperCollins.
- [2] Barrie, M.S., & Jackson, E.S. (2022). *Impact of Technological Shock on the Sierra Leone Economy: A Dynamic Stochastic General Equilibrium (DSGE) Approach.* Published in: Economic Insights Trends and Challenges, 12(2), 1-19.
- [3] Bikhchandani, S., Hirshleifer, D., & Welch, I. (1992). A Theory of Fads, Fashion, Custom, and Cultural Change as Informational Cascades. *Journal of Political Economy*, 100(5): 992-1026.
- [4] Bouwman, H., et al. (2018). Achieving agility using business model stress testing. *Electron Markets*, 28: 149–162. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-016-0243-0
- [5] Cialdini, R. B. (2007). *Influence: The Psychology of Persuasion*. Harper Business.

- [6] Dijkstra KA, Hong Y-y (2019). The feeling of throwing good money after bad: The role of affective reaction in the sunk-cost fallacy. *PLOS One*, 14(1): e0209900. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209900
- [7] Jackson, E.A. (2024). The Evolution of Artificial Intelligence: A Theoretical Review of its Impact on Teaching and Learning in the Digital Age. ECONSTOR. https://hdl.handle.net/10419/280893
- [8] Jackson, E.A. (2016). Phronesis and Resource Curse Hypothesis in Post-Independent Sierra Leone. *Ilorin Journal of Economic Policy*, 3(1): 1-10. Available at: https://hdk.handle.net/10419/175658
- [9] Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect Theory: An Analysis of Decision under Risk. *Econometrica*, 47(2): 263-291.
- [10] Phillips, L.D. (1983). A theoretical perspective on heuristics and biases in probabilistic thinking. *Advances in Psychology*, 14: 525-543. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-4115(08)62256-6
- [11] Porter, M. E. (1979). How Competitive Forces Shape Strategy. *Harvard Business Review*, 57(2): 137-145. Available at: https://asiakas.kotisivukone.com/files/laatuoptimi2013.kotisivukone.com/tiedostot/porter_5competitive_forces.pdf
- [12] Schwartz, P. (1991). The Art of the Long View: Planning for the Future in an Uncertain World. Doubleday.
- [13] Tamuke, E., Jackson, E.A., & Sillah, A. (2018). Forecasting Inflation in Sierra Leone using ARIMA and ARIMAX: A Comparative Evaluation. Model Building and Analysis Team. Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic *Fields*, 9(1): 63-74. DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v9.1(17).07
- [14] Thaler, R.H., & Sunstein, C.R. (2021). Nudge: The final edition. Allen Lane: London, UK.
- [15] Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). Nudge: Improving Decisions About Health, Wealth, and Happiness. Yale University Press.
- [16] Warburton, C.E.S. & Jackson, E.A. (2020). Monetary Policy Responses to Exogenous Perturbations: The Case of a Small Open Economy (2007-2018). *PSL Quarterly Review*, 73(293): 181-201. DOI:https://doi.org/10.13133/2037-3643_73.293_5
- [17] Royal Dutch Shell. (2013). New Lens Scenarios: A Shift in Perspective for a World in Transition. Royal Dutch Shell. Available at: https://www.shell.com/content/dam/royaldutchshell/documents/corporate/scenarios-newdoc.pdf
- [18] Shell International. (2008). Shell Global Scenarios to 2025. Royal Dutch Shell. Available at: https://www.shell.com/news-and-insights/scenarios/what-are-the-previous-shell-scenarios/jcr_content/root/main/section_745060082/promo_copy_610395211/links/item0.stream/16522893_47170/b11796d73b992c93fb76ddf423669ae26330f503/shell-global-scenarios2025summary2005.pdf
- [19] Unilever. (2020). Sustainable Living Plan: Progress Report. Unilever. Retrieved from https://www.unilever.com/files/origin/e4aa61b4fa7970c7a3f43a62c825366babd6c693.pdf/unilever-basis-of-preperation-sustainability-2020.pdf

