Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields



Quarterly

Volume XV Issue 3(31) Fall 2024

ISSN: 2068 - 7710

Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref



Volume XV Issue 3(31) Fall 2024

Guest Editor		
PhD Svitlana IVASHYNA		
University of Customs and Finance, Ukraine		
Editor in Chief		
PhD Laura UNGUREANU		
Spiru Haret University, Romania		E
Editorial Advisory Board		A
Aleksandar Vasilev	1	
International Business School, University		0
of Lincoln, UK Germán Martinez Prats		R
Juárez Autonomous University of	2	C
Tabasco, Mexic	_	R
Alessandro Morselli		
University of Rome Sapienza, Italy		R
The Kien Nguyen		E
Vietnam National University, Vietnam	3	Е
Emerson Abraham Jackson		T
Bank of Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone		
Tamara Todorova		D
American University in Bulgaria, Bulgaria		E
Fatoki Olawale Olufunso	4	T
University of Limpopo, South Africa Mădălina Constantinescu		S
Spiru Haret University, Romania		
Esmaeil Ebadi		TI
Gulf University for Science and	_	C
Technology, Kuwait	5	V
Alessandro Saccal		M
Independent researcher, Italy		-
Lesia Kucher		TI
Lviv Polytechnic National University,		D
Ukraine	6	A
Hardy Hanappi		0
VIPER - Vienna Institute for Political Economy Research, Austria		M
Philippe Boyer		M
Académie d'Agriculture de France, France		
Malika Neifar		bo
University of Sfax, Tunisia	7	C
Nazaré da Costa Cabral		0
Center for Research in European,		0
Economic, Financial and Tax Law of the		S
University of Lisbon, Portugal		T
Jumadil Saputra	8	S
University of Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia		V
Michael Emmett Brady		V
California State University, United States		In
Mina Fanea-Ivanovici	9	Α
Bucharest University of Economic Studies,		K
Romania		
Bakhyt Altynbassov		T
University of Bristol, United Kingdom	10	D
Theodore Metaxas		N
University of Thessaly, Greece		
Elia Fiorenza		
University of Calabria, Italy		
ASERS Publishing ISSN 2068 – 7710		
Journal's Issue DOI:		
https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v15.3(31).00		

Table of Contents

1	Exploring Profitability in Albanian Banks through Decision Tree Analysis Olsi XHOXHI, Zamira SINAJ, Liridon ISMAILI	507
2	Revolutionizing Finance: Decentralized Finance as a Disruptive Challenge to Traditional Finance Rajmund MIRDALA	517
3	Regional Trade and Financial Mobilisation as Preconditions for Economic Growth: The Case of ECOWAS Emerson Abraham JACKSON, Edmund Chijeh TAMUKE, Talatu JALLOH	539
4	Digital Content Marketing in Brand Management of Small Business Enterprises, Trading Companies and Territorial Marketing Tetiana USTIK, Tetiana DUBOVYK, Volodymyr LAGODIIENKO, Svitlana CHERNOBROVKINA, Yurii VLASENKO, Maksym SHMATOK	552
5	The Effects of the Regional Comprehensive Economic Partnership on China's Trade, Tariff Revenue and Welfare Wenjie ZHANG, Muhammad Daaniyall ABD RAHMAN, Mohamad Khair Afham MUHAMAD SENAN	566
6	The Impact of Project Activities on the International Business Development Anna KUKHARUK, Ruhiyya NAGIYEVA SADRADDIN, Olha ANISIMOVYCH-SHEVCHUK, Oksana MARUKHLENKO, Mykhaylo KAPYRULYA	579
7	Moderating Effect of Board Characteristics on the Association between Asset Liability Management and Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in Nigeria Oluwafemi Philip AKINSELURE, Tajudeen John AYOOLA, Olateju Dolapo AREGBESOLA	589
8	Strategy for the Development of the Investment Potential of the Tourism Industry of Ukraine in the International Economic System Sergiy M. TSVILIY, Denys P. MYKHAILYK, Darya D. GUROVA, Viktoriia O. OGLOBLINA, Olga M. KORNIIENKO	601
9	Integrating LGBTI Inclusivity and Innovative Capacity in India: Analyzing the Effects of Globalization Kanika CHAWLA, Nilavathy KUTTY	620
0	The Impact of the ChatGPT Platform on Consumer Experience in Digital Marketing and User Satisfaction Nikola PAVLOVIĆ, Marko SAVIĆ	636

Volume XV Issue 3(31)

Fall 2024			
Guest Editor PhD Svitlana IVASHYNA University of Customs and Finance, Ukraine Editor in Chief	11	The Credit Spread: Risk-Free Rate in the Model Amasya GHAZARYAN, Satine ASOYAN, Vahagn MELIK-PARSADANYAN	647
PhD Laura UNGUREANU Spiru Haret University, Romania Editorial Advisory Board Aleksandar Vasilev	12	Navigating the Maze: A Systematic Review of Empirical Studies on Tax Avoidance and Its Influence Factors Chao GE, Wunhong SU, Wong Ming WONG	659
International Business School, University of Lincoln, UK Germán Martinez Prats Juárez Autonomous University of	13	The Nexus of Fiscal Policy and Growth in the Optimal Control Framework Adirek VAJRAPATKUL, Pinmanee VAJRAPATKUL	685
Tabasco, Mexic Alessandro Morselli University of Rome Sapienza, Italy The Kien Nguyen	14	Financial Factors and Beyond: A Survey of Credit Risk Assessment for VSBs by Moroccan Banks Youssef KHANCHAOUI, Youssef ZIZI, Abdeslam EL MOUDDEN	695
Vietnam National University, Vietnam Emerson Abraham Jackson Bank of Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone Tamara Todorova American University in Bulgaria, Bulgaria	15	Kyrgyz Republic Tax Legislation Influence on the Local Automotive Industry Efficiency Kanash ABILPEISSOV	709
Fatoki Olawale Olufunso University of Limpopo, South Africa Mădălina Constantinescu Spiru Haret University, Romania Esmaeil Ebadi	16	An Analysis to the Link between Foreign Trade and Sectorial Economic Growth in Iraq Ahmed Saddam ABDULSAHIB	718
Gulf University for Science and Technology, Kuwait Alessandro Saccal	17	The Impact of Competitive Relations on the Issuers' Dividend Policy Oleksandr ZHURBA	732
Independent researcher, Italy Lesia Kucher Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine Hardy Hanappi VIPER - Vienna Institute for Political	18	Nexus between Monetary Indicators and Bitcoin in Selected Sub- Saharan Africa: A Panel ARDL Richard UMEOKWOBI, Edmund Chijeh Eric TAMUKE, Obumneke EZIE, Marvelous AIGBEDION, Patricia Sarah VANDY	742
Economy Research, Austria Philippe Boyer Académie d'Agriculture de France, France Malika Neifar	19	Empowering a Knowledge-Based Economy: An Assessment of the Influence on Economic Development Jonida GODUNI	754
University of Sfax, Tunisia Nazaré da Costa Cabral Center for Research in European, Economic, Financial and Tax Law of the University of Lisbon, Portugal Jumadil Saputra University of Malaysia Terengganu,	20	Echoes of Conflict: Unveiling the Interconnected Tapestry of Russia- Ukraine Warfare, Oil Price Ballet, and the Asian Stock Symphony Anubha SRIVASTAVA, B.S ARJUN, Ritu WADHWA, Purwa SRIVASTAVA, Neha SINGH, Chaandni GAUTAM	764
Malaysia Michael Emmett Brady California State University, United States Mina Fanea-Ivanovici Bucharest University of Economic Studies, Romania Bakhyt Altynbassov University of Bristol, United Kingdom Theodore Metaxas University of Thessaly, Greece			

ASERS Publishing
http://www.asers.eu/asers-publishing
ISSN 2068 – 7710
Journal's Issue DOI:
https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v15.3(31).00

Elia Fiorenza
University of Calabria, Italy

Call for Papers Winter Issue Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields

Many economists today are concerned by the proliferation of journals and the concomitant labyrinth of research to be conquered in order to reach the specific information they require. To combat this tendency, Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields has been conceived and designed outside the realm of the traditional economics journal. It consists of concise communications that provide a means of rapid and efficient dissemination of new results, models, and methods in all fields of economic research.

Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields publishes original articles in all branches of economics – theoretical and practical, abstract, and applied, providing wide-ranging coverage across the subject area.

Journal promotes research that aim at the unification of the theoretical-quantitative and the empirical-quantitative approach to economic problems and that are penetrated by constructive and rigorous thinking. It explores a unique range of topics from the frontier of theoretical developments in many new and important areas, to research on current and applied economic problems, to methodologically innovative, theoretical, and applied studies in economics. The interaction between practical work and economic policy is an important feature of the journal.

Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields is indexed in SCOPUS, RePEC, ProQuest, Cabell Directories and CEEOL databases.

The primary aim of the Journal has been and remains the provision of a forum for the dissemination of a variety of international issues, practical research, and other matters of interest to researchers and practitioners in a diversity of subject areas linked to the broad theme of economic sciences.

At the same time, the journal encourages the interdisciplinary approach within the economic sciences, this being a challenge for all researchers.

The advisory board of the journal includes distinguished scholars who have fruitfully straddled disciplinary boundaries in their academic research.

All the papers will be first considered by the Editors for general relevance, originality, and significance. If accepted for review, papers will then be subject to double blind peer review.

Deadline for submission of proposals: 10th November 2024

Expected publication date: December 2024

Website: http://journals.aserspublishing.eu/tpref

E-mail: tpref@aserspublishing.eu

To prepare your paper for submission, please see full author guidelines in the following file: https://journals.aserspublishing.eu/tpref/Template for Authors TPREF 2024.docx on our site.



DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v15.3(31).07

Moderating Effect of Board Characteristics on the Association between Asset Liability Management and Financial Performance of Commercial Banks in Nigeria

Oluwafemi Philip AKINSELURE
Accounting Programme
College of Management and Social Sciences
Bowen University, Nigeria

Corresponding author: akinselure.oluwafemi@bowen.edu.ng

Tajudeen John AYOOLA
Accounting Department
Faculty of Management and Accounting
Obafemi Awolowo University, Nigeria
alabiayoolaaca@oauife.edu.ng

Olateju Dolapo AREGBESOLA
Accounting Programme
College of Management and Social Sciences
Bowen University, Nigeria
dolapo.aregbesola@bowen.edu.ng

Article info: Received 28 June 2024; Received in revised form 16 July 2024; Accepted 27 August 2024; Published 30 September 2024. Copyright© 2024 The Author(s). Published by ASERS Publishing 2024. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of CC-BY 4.0 license.

Abstract: Asset liability management and consistent improvement in financial performance are the responsibility of the Board of Directors of commercial banks, yet studies on the impact of asset liability management on the financial performance of commercial banks in Sub-Saharan Africa have excluded board characteristics variable from their statistical model. This study examines the moderating effect of board characteristics and asset liability management on the financial performance of commercial banks in Nigeria. Commercial banks. It was based on secondary data, obtained from annual reports of commercial banks in Nigeria, the study covered the period starting from 2012 to 2021 and it purposively selected eleven (11) commercial banks out of fourteen (14) commercial banks in Nigeria. The statistical analysis was done using LASSO (Least Absolute Shrinkage Selection Operator). The findings of the study revealed that the moderating effect of board characteristics and asset liability management had a statistically significant impact on the financial performance of commercial banks in Nigeria because the p-values (0.04;0.03,0.02,0.01, and 0.005) obtained using LASSO were less than the 5% statistical threshold used in this study. The study concluded that the board of directors of commercial banks in Nigeria should ensure that they appoint board members who have expertise and experience in the establishment of asset liability management since its interaction with board characteristics showed a statistically significant impact on the financial performance of the bank.

Keywords: financial performance; commercial banks; asset liability management; board characteristic.

JEL Classification: G21; G10; C10; C58; E44.

Introduction

Commercial banks in Nigeria (also called deposit money banks) play a central role in the country's economic development because, without their funding strategies, industrial growth will be impossible (Ilmiani and Meliza, 2022). Furthermore, the National Bureau of Statistics (2024) in Nigeria reported, that the contribution of the service sector, which also comprise of the banking industries, resulted in the growth of the gross domestic product (GDP) to \$\frac{1}{2}\$58,865,142.27 million in nominal terms, indicating a year-on-year nominal growth of 14.86%. This performance was higher than the first quarter of 2023 which stood at \$\frac{1}{2}\$51,242,151.21 million. The strategic role of the banking industry in the service sector is one of the major reasons stakeholders attach so much importance to its financial performance. In an ideal situation, the financial performance of banks should be at its optimal. However, in the last decade, some banks have reported unstable financial

performance, while other banks reported losses. Extensive studies have been conducted on the financial performance of commercial banks revolving majorly on the determinant of financial performance. However, one of the understudied factors, that may impact financial performance in the banking sector and has not been critically examined is asset liability management. Existing studies on the impact of asset liability management on financial performance emphasised a mixed relationship and inconclusive between both variables. Since, some of these studies (Abebe 2022, Wike *et al.* (2024), established that both positive and negative associations exist between asset liability management and financial performance.

The inconclusive results between asset liability management and financial performance may be attributable to the non-consideration of board characteristics as a moderator in the statistical model of existing studies, despite the strategic role of the board. Theoretically, the resource dependence and upper echelon theories position the board as an important resource that can strengthen or diminish the financial performance of the banks, as well as generate innovative ideas for strategic direction and execution. Therefore, the novelty of this study is that it seeks to contribute to knowledge by introducing board characteristics as a moderator in the association between asset liability management and financial performance. Existing studies (e.g., Kazeem and Adeoye 2020; Isamail, et al. 2023; Wike, et al. 2024), only focus on the effect of asset liability management on financial performance of banks.

The manuscript is structured as follows: Section 2 presents the literature review and hypotheses. Section 3 describes the methodology Section 4 Research results Section 5 Discussion 6, Conclusion and further reading.

1. Literature Review

Asset Liability Management entails risk quantification and deliberate decision-making focused on the minimisation of risk and maximization of profit (Dsouza, et al. 2022) It is a management risk strategy focused on strengthening the shareholder's wealth by reducing risk and maximizing the return of the shareholders, thereby, enhancing the financial performance of the bank (Neves, et al. 2020). The board of directors of the banks can sustain the improvement of shareholder's wealth by ensuring the assets and liabilities of the shareholders are invested in only safe investment, thereby, protecting the capital of the shareholders from sudden economic crises (Dewasurendra, et al. 2019; Barmuta, et al. 2018; Almaqtari, et al. 2019). Asset liability management can also be defined as the management of the assets and liability of the bank by the board of the bank (Choudhry 2011), This also means that it is the responsibility of the board of the bank. Asset liability management was measured in this study using Liquidity risk, credit risk and interest rate risk. Liquidity risk was represented by customers' deposits, credit risk was represented by loans and advances of the bank while Interest rate risk was determined using the prevailing interest rate of the bank. The study of Novickytea and Petraityte (2014) also used only customer deposits, loans, and leverage, to represent asset liability management. Furthermore, the studies of (Lysiak, et al. 2022; Li, et al. 2022; Chen, et al. 2022) established that the board of commercial banks are responsible for determining the optimal risk management strategy, which is also a subset of asset liability management since, this will help to minimise liquidity risk, credit risk and interest rate risk of the bank and will help to mitigate sudden financial crisis that could erode the wealth of the shareholders.

Performance measurement is a highly fundamental factor that must be considered by financial institutions because it helps them survive the highly competitive environment where currently operate and also creates rapid opportunities for them to their performance (Surjan and Srivastava, 2019). Performance can be defined as a business's capacity to generate optimal returns. Banks introduce measures to improve their financial performance because of the risky nature of their business (Wonglimpiyarat, 2014). Financial performance is defined as the result of measuring the financial performance of an organisation in monetary terms. The financial performance is measured in this study using return on asset. Return on assets is a financial metric that indicates how well an organisation has utilised its earnings to fund its assets. It is the most commonly used in studies relating to asset liability management because it holistically addresses the fundamentals of corporate performance and the operational capacity of the bank (Issah, et al, 2017; Al-Matari et al. 2014). However, other asset-liability management studies adopted return on equity to measure financial performance. But, Issah et al. (2017), further, reinterred that return on asset is more suitable than the return on equity used by some other asset-liability management studies because the return on equity can be easily manipulated through financial engineering, particularly the equity components of the return of equity's formula, Although, (Mcclure, et al. 2021) opinned that even though the return on assets is not the only optimal financial ratio for the financial performance of an organisation the appropriate , It is the most dependable, effective, and extensively used financial indicator for determining performance.

The board is the apex organ in the banking industry. The experience and expertise of the board members may determine the extent of improvement in the financial performance of the bank (Ogan, and Kornom-gbaraba 2024). The metrics used for board characteristics in this study include; board size (Wokeh 2018), board independence (Ebire *et al.* 2024) and board gender diversity (Chuma and Yahaya 2024). Chen (2024) opined that weakness in the board characteristics of a financial institution was the main cause of the 2008 financial crisis. Wokeh (2018) posited that a large board size is less effective than a small one. In this study, it is computed based on the total number of directors.

Furthermore, as regards board independence, Ebire *et al.* (2024) documented that the higher the proportion of independent board members the more improved the financial performance of the banks, however. Ebire *et al.* (2024) showed that the presence of an independent director has a negative effect on the financial performance of the organisation while (Liu, *et al.* 2015) confirmed that a negative relationship exists between independent director and financial performance. Whereas (Mohapatra, 2016) confirmed that no relationship exists between independent boards and financial performance. In this study board independence is shown as a ratio of the number of executive directors/to the number of executive and non-executive directors. Similarly, according to the study of Chuma and Yahaya (2024), it was established that the existence of a balance between the male and female gender on the board of directors often creates more opportunities to increase the financial performance of the bank. In this study, it is obtained by dividing the number of female directors by the total number of board members (that is, both male and female board members).

Bank size can be described as the bank's capacity to earn and maintain a profit over a period of time (Almazari. 2014, Teimet et al. 2019), It is one of the control variables considered in this study because it certainly affects financial performance, asset liability management, and board characteristics. However, existing literature on bank size, showed that the relationship between bank size and financial performance as well as asset liability management is mixed because Alfadhli, M and Alali, M (2021) opined that a insignificant relationship exists between bank size and financial performance while Siebenbrunner et al. 2017 documented that the effect of bank size on financial performance and risk management, which is also a major part of asset liability management is positive. It is measured in this study, with a logarithm of the total asset and this was supported by the study of Mester (2010) claimed that bank size is calculated as a logarithm of total assets. Financial leverage is defined as the use of debt to finance business operations, it is preferred by most businesses because its inclusion in a given capital structure mix produces a lower weighted average cost of capital, thus improving the bank's returns and consequently leading to improvement in the financial performance. It is also one of the control variables in this study, it is measured in this study using the ratio of liabilities to assets. Niresh and Velnampy, (2012) opined that most bank managers depend on leverage to smoothly carry out their operations because it often has longer repayment terms thus creating room for financial improvement. Furthermore, Santos et al. (2023) posited that debt financing opens up several opportunities for financial institutions, some of which include stable interest rates, tax deductions and enhanced financial performance.

Board characteristics is a corporate governance mechanism that ensures the board of directors of deposit money banks minimises risk and uncertainty by adopting best practices in asset liability management policies thus, causing an improvement to the financial performance of the banks (Lysiak et al. 2022; Dsouza et al. 2022). This also implies that it will be non-scientific to explain the relationship between asset liability management and financial performance without considering the board characteristics element since it is the board of directors of the bank that is responsible for establishing the asset liability management which eventually gives direction to the financial performance of the commercial bank. This was also in tandem with the study of Ogan and Kornom-gbaraba (2024) who established that the board of directors of commercial banks are responsible for putting in place an asset liability management structure that will mitigate risk and uncertainties in the bank's operation thus improving the financial performance of banks, It is important to see the association between board characteristic, asset liability management and financial performance because asset liability management is the prerequisite for the stability and continued improvement in the financial performance of financial institutions (Mulyungi and Mukasinayobye 2017; Ajibola 2016), However, existing studies (e.g. Dsouza et al. 2022; Neves et al. 2020; Dewasurendra et al. 2019; Barmuta et al. 2019; Almaqtari et al. 2019) examining the effect of asset liability management on the financial performance of banks have not included board characteristics variable in their statistical model. To this end, the hypothesis for this study is formulated as follows:

H1: Board characteristics have no moderating role in the association between asset liability management and the financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria.

2. Methodology

The research adopted panel data, covering the period from 2012 to 2021. This period was chosen because Nigeria effectively adopted the International financial reporting standard in 2012. Also, the study encompassed all the twenty-three (23) quoted banks as of 31st December 2021 (CBN, 2021). These twenty-three (23) banks comprised fourteen (14) publicly listed banks and nine (9) privately listed banks. However, out of these twenty-three (23) banks, only eleven (11) were purposively chosen for this study, based on the following three (3) criteria. (i) The selected banks had complete annual reports from 2012 to 2021 on their official websites, (ii) the annual reports of the selected banks were presented using the domestic currency (Naira), and (iii) the selected banks were publicly quoted companies. Furthermore, the statistical analysis of the study was based on Descriptive and Inferential statistics. The Descriptive statistics included; mean, median, standard deviation, maximum and minimum while the inferential statistics included LASSO (Least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) variable reduction technique. Pairwise Correlation, Breusch-Pagan test, Panel Cross-section Heteroskedasticity

LR Test, Arellano-Bond Serial Correlation Test, and Hausman test, According to Tibshirani, (1996), this LASSO statistical technique ensures regularisation of variables and accurate variable selection which eventually lead to accurate statistical discussion of results technique. This was also supported by the study of Kumar, (2023), who emphasised that the LASSO statistical technique eliminates some variables in a model because they may contain some outlier values that may affect the correct interpretation of statistical results.

Table 1. Measurement of Variables

S/N	Variable Name	Measurement/ Indicators/proxy	A priori Expectation	Sources
1.	Financial performance (Return on Asset).	It was represented by return on asset which was obtained with the ratio of profit after tax to total assets.	Positive	Kazeem and Adeoye (2020)
2.	Liquidity risk	It was represented by the customer's deposit from .2012-2020.	Negative	Kazeem and Adeoye (2020)
3.	Interest rate risk	It was represented by the interest rate of deposit money banks starting from 2012 to 2020	Positive	Kazeem and Adeoye (2020)
4.	Credit risk	It was represented by loans and advances from 2012 to 2020.	Positive	Kazeem and Adeoye (2020)
5.	Board Characteristics	It was represented by i. Board size (this was the addition of both Executive and non-executive Directors shown under the corporate governance section of the various deposit money banks). ii. Board gender Diversity (This was derived by dividing the number of female directors by the total number of directors in the bank).	positive Positive	Ogan and Kornom-gbaraba (2024)
	Board Characteristics(Continuation)	iii. bboard meetings (This refers to the number of meetings held by the board of directors, shown under the cooperate governance section in the annual report) iv. Board independence (This was derived by dividing the number of Non-executive director by the total number of directors in the banks)	positive	Ogan and Kornom-gbaraba (2024)
6.	Leverage	It was represented by the ratio of the total liabilities to total asset	Positive	Niresh and Velnampy (2012)

Source: Literature review

Data for asset liability management, board characteristics and financial performance were obtained from annual reports of banks, and this was similar to the approach adopted in the studies of (Ajibola 2016; Njogo et al. 2014; Onaolapo and Adegoke 2020), While data on control variables were also obtained from the bank's annual report and World Bank Development Indicator Database available on the internet (WDI 2015). The statistical model to examine the moderating effect of asset liability management, board characteristics and financial performance of Nigeria banks adopted for this study is stated as follows

```
ROA _{it} = \beta_0 + \beta_1LQR _{it} * \beta_2GEN _{it} + \beta_3LQR _{it} * \beta_4BSIZ _{it} + \beta_5LQR _{it} * \beta_6DEPD _{it} + \beta_7 LQR _{it} * \beta_8MEET_{it} + \beta_9CRR* \beta_{10}BMEET + \beta_{11}CRR* \beta_{12}GEN + \beta_{13}DEPD* + \beta_{14}CRR + \beta_{15}INT* \beta_{16}BMEET + \beta_{17}INT* \beta_{17}GEN+ \beta_{18}DEPD* \beta_{19}INT + \beta_{20}LEV_{it} + \beta_{21}BkSZ + \theta_{it}
```

Where:

- ROA means the return on an asset which represents the financial performance
- LQR it * GEN it means liquidity risk interacted with board gender diversity
- LQR it * DEPD it means liquidity risk interacted with board independence
- LQR it * MEETit means liquidity risk interacted with board meetings

- LQR it * BSIZ means liquidity risk interacted with board size
- CRR_{it} * GEN means credit risk interacted with board gender diversity
- CRR _{it} * β₂BSIZ _{it} means credit risk interacted with board size
- CRR it * MEETit means credit risk interacted with board meetings
- CRR it * DEPD it means credit risk interacted with board independence
- CRR it * GEN it means interest rate risk interacted with board gender diversity
- INTRR it * BSIZ it means interest rate risk interacted with board size
- INTRR it * DEPD it means interest rate risk interacted with board independence
- INTRR_{it} * MEET_{it} means interest rate risk interacted with board meetings
- INTRR_{it} * GEN_{it} means interest rate risk interacted with board gender diversity
- BkSZ means Bank size (Control Variable) represented by the natural logarithm of total asset
- LEV means leverage (Control Variable) represented by Total liability / Total Asset
- β₀ means Intercept
- β_1 to β_{20} = Regression Coefficient.
- i = Individual deposit money banks used in the study
- t = Time frame in the study

4. Regression Results

The result of the descriptive statistics conducted on the variables used in achieving the objective of this study, which was, to evaluate the moderating/interactive effect of asset liability management, board characteristics and financial performance of commercial banks in Nigeria are reported in this section. Table 1 contains the descriptive statistics of the variables such as the mean, median, standard deviation, minimum and maximum. The statistics aided the study in assessing the quality of the data and identifying the presence of outliers which might affect the robustness of the model. The average value for return on asset was 0.01609 and the standard deviation was 0.0199. This implied that the mean of return on assets of the banks had close variation to the standard deviation. The maximum return on asset was 0.061307 and the least was -0.110538, the median of 0.013594 indicated that more than 50% of the bank return of asset clustered around the mean because the value of the mean and median were almost the same.

Furthermore, the liquidity risk (LQR) of the banks reported an average of 27.85 and a standard deviation of 1.9751. While the maximum was 34.24 and the minimum was 22.394. The log of the loans and advances showed that most of the banks were less exposed to liquidity risk because the mean and the standard deviation were close in value. This also implied that the banks had a holistic liquidity risk strategy which helped them to minimize their exposure to liquidity challenges. The financial leverage of the banks showed that on average the ratio of debt to asset was 0.8705 the minimum ratio was 0.00063 and the maximum was 3. The Bank size reports an average of 28.4780 and a standard deviation of 1.6987. The maximum was 35.282 and the minimum was 25,62. The interest rate on average was 8.233 and the maximum was 13.5961. The lowest interest rate was 0.4459. The standard deviation of the interest rate was 3.112. The credit risk and log of business growth report an average of 27.45021 and 24.87029 respectively. The lowest CRR was 22.37423 and the maximum was 33.78002. The log of business growth was 19.03982 and the maximum was 31.20932. The result of the normality test showed that all the variables were not normally distributed with p-values less than 0.05.

Furthermore, Table 1, also, showed that the board size (BSIZ) of the banks showed an average of 13.745 and a standard deviation of 2.928. The maximum was 20.00 and the minimum was 6.000. Board independence of the banks reports an average of 0.5778 and a standard deviation of 0.0923. The maximum was 0.9090. The financial leverage of the banks showed that on average the ratio of debt to asset was 0.8705 the minimum ratio was 0.00063 and the maximum was 3. The Bank size reports an average of 28.4780 and a standard deviation of 1.6987. The maximum was 35.282 and the minimum was 25,62. Gender diversity on average was 0.2199 and the maximum was 0.4545. The board meeting had an average of 5.8818 and a median of 5.000. This showed that on average the bank's board held 5 meetings. The variable of INF reported an average of 12.3660 and a standard deviation of 3.1120. The minimum of INF was 8.060 and the maximum of the INF was 16.950. The log of business growth was 19.03982 and the maximum was 31.20932.

4.1 Pairwise Correlation Result

Tables 2 and 3 presented the pairwise correlation of the variables used in assessing the effect of asset liability management, board characteristics and financial performance of banks in Nigeria. The correlation analysis was carried out among the independent variables of the study to ascertain the degree of independence of the explanatory variables. The

result revealed that the independent variables included in the study exhibited weak correlations with each other, which implies that all the independent variables are very suitable for the model. This is in tandem with the study of Damodar (2004), who posited that the correlation coefficient among regressors will be suitable for regression analysis if it does not exceed a benchmark value of 0.80. LASSO (Least Absolute Shrinkage and Selector Operator), Pairwise Correlation, Breusch-Pagan test, Panel Cross-section Heteroskedasticity LR Test, Arellano-Bond Serial Correlation Test and Hausman test Result for the Interactive Effect of Board Characteristics and Asset Liability Management on Financial Performance of Banks in Nigeria.

Table 4 shows the regression outputs of the interactive effect of board characteristics and asset liability management on the financial performance of deposit money banks in Nigeria. The study adopted LASSO (*i.e.* least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) by incorporating it with the backward elimination method. The result revealed that board meetings, board gender diversity and board independence were the only proxies of board characteristics selected by the LASSO statistical technique, these three variables then interacted with variables representing asset liability management (liquidity risk, interest rate risk, credit risk) to produce a statistically significant effect on financial performance of the bank. Also, according to Kumar (2023), the LASSO statistical technique eliminates some variables in a model because they may contain some outlier values that may affect the correct interpretation of statistical results.

Furthermore, the findings showed that the regression model produced an R-squared value of 65.8% which implied that the dependent variable was adequately accounted for by the independent variable. The f-value of 8.5922, showed that the independent variable was jointly significant at 5%. The result further revealed that board meetings positively interacted with credit risk to affect the financial performance of the banks since the P-valued (0.03) obtained was less than 5%, Also interest rate risk positively interacted with board meetings because the result of the P-value was (0.03) and this was also less than the 5% statistical threshold used for this study. This also implied that the higher the number of board meetings held by the board of directors the more effective the asset liability management, as well as, financial performance of the bank since, the board meeting often create an opportunity for the directors to critically, professionally review and evaluate activities of the bank and align themselves with shareholders interest over some time, although this might not always translate to improvement in interest rate risk and credit risk management (Allegrini and Greco 2013). Furthermore, Gender diversity and credit risk showed positive interaction with the financial performance of the banks because the p-value (0.02) that was less than the 5% statistical threshold used in this study. This is in agreement with the study of (Garba and Abubakar, 2014) who confirmed that the presence of female board members will enhance the bank's financial performance as well as asset liability management.

Variable Mean Median Maximum Observations Minimum Std. Dev. 0.0135 **ROA** 0.016 0.0613 -0.1105 0.0199 110 2.9286 13.7454 **DEPD** 0.5778 0.5893 0.909 0.1666 0.0923 110 0.4545 0.2199 0.2085 0.0786 **BMEET** 5.8818 16 2.3451 34.24457 27.85545 **LQR** 27.78851 22.39474 1.975101 INT 8.233726 6.455521 13.59615 4.522189 3.133655 110 **CRR** 27.45021 33.78002 22.37423 27.27761 1.700678 0.8705 0.8676 0.3422 LEV 3.0074 0.0006 **LGBGWT** 24.8702 24.8913 31.2093 2.3065 INF 12.366 12.155 16.95 3.112 8.06 **BKSZ** 28.478 28.2231 35.2827 25.6282

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

Source: Author's Computation, 2023.

Similarly, board independence another proxy of board characteristics revealed a significant interaction with the liquidity risk and credit risk. Since the p-values (0.01 and 0.005) obtained using LASSO were less than the 5% statistical threshold used for this study. This statement was corroborated by the studies of (Abu *et al.* 2016; Muller 2014) who emphasised that increases in the number of independent board members expose the banks to professional expertise which causes improvement in the asset liability management structure as well as the financial performance of the bank. Thus, it can be inferred that the interaction of board characteristics and asset liability management has a statistically significant influence on the financial performance of the bank. This is in agreement with the studies of (Bathula 2008; Javed *et al.*

2013) who emphasised that the board of director have a statistically significant influence on asset liability management and the financial performance of the bank. Furthermore, the result of the Arellano-Bond Serial Correlation Test Panel Cross-section Heteroskedasticity Likelihood Ratio Test and Breusch-Pagan Test revealed that the model residual value failed to violate the assumption of no serial correlation and heteroskedasticity of a least square regression model. Also, the Hausman test, confirmed that the Redundant Fixed Effects Test is the most appropriate for the study, since its P-value (*i.e.* 0.00000) was less than the 5% statistical threshold used for this study.

Table 3. Correlation Matrix for asset liability management and control variables

Correlation									
Probability	ROA	BSIZ	DEPD	GEN	BMEET	LEV	LGBGWT	BKSZ	INF
ROA (r)	1.0000								
2017 ()	0.0050	4.0000							
BSIZ (r)	-0.0258	1.0000							
p-value)	(0.7883)								
DEPD (r)	0.0119	-0.0723	1.0000						
p-value)	(0.9017)	(0.4526)							
GEN (r)	-0.0784	-0.2065	-0.0211	1.0000					
(p-value)	(0.4154)	(0.0304)	(0.8265)						
BMEET(r)	-0.0765	0.3188	0.0319	0.0308	1.0000				
(p-value)	(0.4266)	(0.0007)	(0.7402)	(0.7489)					
_EV (r)	-0.0096	0.1394	-0.0004	-0.0004	-0.0772	1.0000			
(p-value)	(0.9207)	(0.1461)	0.9960	(0.9966)	(0.4223)				
_GBGWT (r)	-0.0259	0.0047	-0.0898	-0.2146	0.1061	-0.0205	1.0000		
p-value)	(0.7878)	(0.9611)	(0.3504)	(0.0243)	(0.2697)	(0.8315)	1.0000		
p-value)	(0.7676)	(0.9011)	(0.3304)	(0.0243)	(0.2097)	(0.0315)			
BKSZ (r)	0.0700	0.2328	-0.0695	-0.3029	-0.1208	0.3859	0.0624	1.0000	
p-value)	(0.4668)	(0.0144)	(0.4706)	(0.0013)	(0.2086)	(0.0000)	(0.5172)		
NF (r)	-0.0055	0.0537	0.0229	0.0171	-0.1025	0.1413	-0.1061	0.1294	1.0000
p-value)	(0.9537)	(0.5768)	(0.8116)	(0.8589)	(0.2862)	(0.1407)	(0.2699)	(0.1777)	

Source: Author's Computation, 2023.

Where (r)= correlation coefficient value, p-value= probability value, LEV= Financial leverage, LGBGWT= Bank growth, BKSZ= Bank Size INF=Inflation, BMEET=Board Meeting, GEN=Gender, BSIZ=Board Size ROA=Return on asset, DEPD= Board dependence.

Table 4. Correlation result for board characteristics and control variables

Correlation								
Probability	ROA	LQR	LEV	BKSZ	INF	INT	CRR	LGBGWT
ROA	1.0000							
LQR (r)	-0.0230	1.0000						
(p-value)	(0.8110)							
LEV (r)	-0.0096	0.0281	1.0000					
(p-value)	(0.9206)	(0.7704)						
BKSZ(r)	0.0699	-0.0300	0.3859	1.0000				
(p-value)	(0.4679)	(0.7555)	(0.0000)					
INF (r)	-0.0057	0.1407	0.1413	0.1294	1.0000			
(p-value)	(0.9527)	(0.1426)	(0.1407)	(0.1777)				
INT (r)	0.1018	0.0680	-0.0626	0.0755	0.0861	1.0000		
(p-value)	(0.2896)	(0.4799)	(0.5155)	(0.4329)	(0.3708)			
CRR (r)	-0.0440	0.3594	0.0131	-0.1550	0.003844	-0.1087	1.0000	
(p-value)	(0.6475)	(0.0000)	(0.8913)	(0.1059)	(0.9682)	(0.2579)		
LGBGWT(r)	-0.0262	-0.0499	0.0086	0.0569	0.0333	-0.0804	-0.1558	1.0000
(p-value)	(0.7851)	(0.6045)	(0.9285)	(0.5545)	(0.7294)	(0.4034)	(0.1040)	

Source: Author's Computation, 2023.

Where ROA= Return on asset LGBGWT=Bank growth CRR= Credit risk INT =Interest rate INF = Inflation BKSZ = Bank size LEV = Financial leverage LQR = Liquidity risk, (r) = Correlation coefficient, (p-value) = probability value

Table 5. LASSO Result showing the interaction of asset liability management board characteristics and financial performance of banks in Nigeria.

Pooled OLS	Pooled OLS					Random Effe	Random Effect Model		
Coef.	t-value	p-value	Coef.	t-value	p-value	Coef.	t-value	p-value	
0.0820	0.812372	0.4185	0.075644	1.016295	0.3122	0.082067	1.134121	0.2595	
-0.0888	-0.881092	0.3804	-0.083419	-2.124061	0.0361	-0.088811	-1.230059	0.2216	
0.0192	0.780309	0.4371	0.022461	2.201587	0.0300	0.019248	1.089359	0.2786	
-0.0344	-0.019126	0.9848	1.551450	1.169406	0.2454	-0.034424	-0.026700	0.9788	
-0.1058	-0.058095	0.9538	-1.639584	-2.225834	0.0283	-0.105894	-0.081105	0.9355	
1.0059	1.587895	0.1155	0.356119	0.748975	0.4558	1.005931	2.216798	0.0289	
-2.2982	-1.922373	0.0574	-2.289601	-2.525409	0.0133	-2.298286	-2.683749	0.0085	
2.6155	2.220845	0.0286	2.530643	2.837613	0.0056	2.615550	3.100434	0.0025	
-0.4318	-1.248917	0.2146	-0.213808	-0.804790	0.4231	-0.431892	-1.743563	0.0843	
-0.0439	-0.084977	0.9325	1.217936	2.569813	0.0118	-0.043962	-0.118634	0.9058	
-1.6719	-1.187362	0.2379	-1.839035	-1.513522	0.1337	-1.671955	-1.657630	0.1006	
0.2602			0.6588			0.2602			
0.1855			0.5821			0.1855			
3.4836			8.5922 3.4836						
0.0005			0.0000						
	Coef. 0.0820 -0.0888 0.0192 -0.0344 -0.1058 1.0059 -2.2982 2.6155 -0.4318 -0.0439 -1.6719 0.2602 0.1855 3.4836	Coef. t-value 0.0820 0.812372 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.0192 0.780309 -0.0344 -0.019126 -0.1058 -0.058095 1.0059 1.587895 -2.2982 -1.922373 2.6155 2.220845 -0.4318 -1.248917 -0.0439 -0.084977 -1.6719 -1.187362 0.2602 0.1855 3.4836	Coef. t-value p-value 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 -2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 -0.4318 -1.248917 0.2146 -0.0439 -0.084977 0.9325 -1.6719 -1.187362 0.2379 0.2602 0.1855 3.4836	Coef. t-value p-value Coef. 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 0.075644 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 -0.083419 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 0.022461 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 1.551450 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 -1.639584 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 0.356119 -2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 -2.289601 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 2.530643 -0.4318 -1.248917 0.2146 -0.213808 -0.0439 -0.084977 0.9325 1.217936 -1.6719 -1.187362 0.2379 -1.839035 0.2602 0.6588 0.1855 0.5821 3.4836 8.5922	Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 0.075644 1.016295 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 -0.083419 -2.124061 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 0.022461 2.201587 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 1.551450 1.169406 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 -1.639584 -2.225834 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 0.356119 0.748975 -2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 -2.289601 -2.525409 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 2.530643 2.837613 -0.4318 -1.248917 0.2146 -0.213808 -0.804790 -0.0439 -0.084977 0.9325 1.217936 2.569813 -1.6719 -1.187362 0.2379 -1.839035 -1.513522 0.2602 0.6588 0.1855 0.5821 3.4836 8.5922	Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value p-value 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 0.075644 1.016295 0.3122 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 -0.083419 -2.124061 0.0361 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 0.022461 2.201587 0.0300 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 1.551450 1.169406 0.2454 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 -1.639584 -2.225834 0.0283 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 0.356119 0.748975 0.4558 -2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 -2.289601 -2.525409 0.0133 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 2.530643 2.837613 0.0056 -0.4318 -1.248917 0.2146 -0.213808 -0.804790 0.4231 -0.0439 -0.084977 0.9325 1.217936 2.569813 0.0118 -1.6719 -1.187362 0.2379 -1.839035 -1.513522 0.1337 </td <td>Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value p-value Coef. 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 0.075644 1.016295 0.3122 0.082067 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 -0.083419 -2.124061 0.0361 -0.088811 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 0.022461 2.201587 0.0300 0.019248 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 1.551450 1.169406 0.2454 -0.034424 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 -1.639584 -2.225834 0.0283 -0.105894 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 0.356119 0.748975 0.4558 1.005931 -2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 -2.289601 -2.525409 0.0133 -2.298286 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 2.530643 2.837613 0.0056 2.615550 -0.4318 -1.248917 0.2146 -0.213808 -0.804790 0.4231 -0.431892 -0.0439 -0.084977 0.932</td> <td>Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 0.075644 1.016295 0.3122 0.082067 1.134121 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 -0.083419 -2.124061 0.0361 -0.088811 -1.230059 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 0.022461 2.201587 0.0300 0.019248 1.089359 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 1.551450 1.169406 0.2454 -0.034424 -0.026700 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 -1.639584 -2.225834 0.0283 -0.105894 -0.081105 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 0.356119 0.748975 0.4558 1.005931 2.216798 2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 -2.289601 -2.525409 0.0133 -2.298286 -2.683749 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 2.530643 2.837613 0.0056 2.615550 3.100434 -0.4318</td>	Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value p-value Coef. 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 0.075644 1.016295 0.3122 0.082067 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 -0.083419 -2.124061 0.0361 -0.088811 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 0.022461 2.201587 0.0300 0.019248 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 1.551450 1.169406 0.2454 -0.034424 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 -1.639584 -2.225834 0.0283 -0.105894 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 0.356119 0.748975 0.4558 1.005931 -2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 -2.289601 -2.525409 0.0133 -2.298286 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 2.530643 2.837613 0.0056 2.615550 -0.4318 -1.248917 0.2146 -0.213808 -0.804790 0.4231 -0.431892 -0.0439 -0.084977 0.932	Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value p-value Coef. t-value 0.0820 0.812372 0.4185 0.075644 1.016295 0.3122 0.082067 1.134121 -0.0888 -0.881092 0.3804 -0.083419 -2.124061 0.0361 -0.088811 -1.230059 0.0192 0.780309 0.4371 0.022461 2.201587 0.0300 0.019248 1.089359 -0.0344 -0.019126 0.9848 1.551450 1.169406 0.2454 -0.034424 -0.026700 -0.1058 -0.058095 0.9538 -1.639584 -2.225834 0.0283 -0.105894 -0.081105 1.0059 1.587895 0.1155 0.356119 0.748975 0.4558 1.005931 2.216798 2.2982 -1.922373 0.0574 -2.289601 -2.525409 0.0133 -2.298286 -2.683749 2.6155 2.220845 0.0286 2.530643 2.837613 0.0056 2.615550 3.100434 -0.4318	

Source: Author's Computation, 2023.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study revealed that the moderating effect of board characteristics on asset liability management and financial performance of deposit money banks was statistically significant since the result of the LASSO statistical technique showed that some of the variables representing asset liability management and board characteristics (e.g. interest rate risk and board meeting) had a statistically significant effect on the financial performance of the banks. This was supported by the output of the LASSO statistical technique which gave a p-value (p=0.0300) that was less than 5% level of significance. The implication of this is that that increase in board meetings provided the board members with the opportunity to discuss proactively the success of the bank. This narrative was in tandem with the view of (Allegrini and Greco 2013), who emphasised that an increase in board meetings leads to professional evaluation of the previous performance of the banks, to maximise the interest of the shareholders.

Conclusion and Further Research

The main goal of this study is to advance knowledge about the moderating effect of, board characteristics on asset liability management and financial performance of banks in Nigeria. It statistically established that the interaction of the proxies of board characteristics (board gender diversity, board independence and board meeting), as well as the proxy of asset liability management (credit risk, liquidity risk and interest rate risk), analysed using the LASSO (least absolute shrinkage and selection operator) statistical technique, produced a statistically significant effect on the financial performance of banks.

The study further concluded that since, this study has statistically shown that the board of director has significant impact on the asset liability management policy as well as financial performance of the banks. The Chairman of the bank and the other board members must ensure that they attend seminar that enhance their ability to effectively and efficiently manage the asset liability management policies and structure of the bank.

The study suggested that further research should be conducted on other countries in Sub-Saharan Africa, since the conclusion was only based on data obtained from Nigeria.

Acknowledgments

Firstly, I Appreciate, the Almighty God for his gift of Life and uncommon courage to complete this publication.

Secondly, I sincerely appreciate Bowen University, Iwo, Osun, State, who stood by their word, of paying a substantial part of the Article publication charges. This speak volume to to the postgraduate student of Bowen University, Iwo, Osun State.

Thirdly, I appreciate, Professor Adenikinju Olayinka and the team of Economics lecturer of Bowen University, Iwo, Osun State, for made it easy for me to understand the fundamental of statistical analysis for this publication.

Fourthly, Big thank you to Professor Oyerogba Eziekiel of Bowen University, Iwo, Osun State, for is uncommon style of Mentorship that enventually motivated the authors to successfully complete the publication.

Fifthly, I appreciate my Parents Barrister David and Mrs Mary Akinselure, as well as my Uncle Architect Akin Adegbohun whose financial support made this publication successful

Credit Authorship Contribution Statement

Oluwafemi Philip Akinselure: Conceptualization, investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software formal analysis, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Data curation, Validation, Writing-review and editing, Visualisation, funding acquisition

Tajudeen John Ayoola: Conceptualization, investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software formal analysis, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Data curation, Validation, Writing-review and editing, Visualisation, funding acquisition.

Olateju Dolapo Aregbesola: Conceptualization, investigation, Methodology, Project administration, Software formal analysis, Writing - original draft, Supervision, Validation, Writing-review and editing, Visualisation, funding acquisition.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Declaration of use of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies

The authors declare that they have not used generative AI (a type of artificial intelligence technology that can produce various types of content including text, imagery, audio and synthetic data.

References

- [1] Abebe, M.G. (2022). The effect of asset and liability management on the financial performance of microfinance institutions: evidence from sub-Saharan African region. *Future Business Journal*, 8(1):1-12,
- [2] Ajibola, J.O. (2016). The Effects of Assets and Liability Management on Financial Performance of Some Selected Nigerian Banks. *Journal of Accounting and Financial Management*, 2(2).
- [3] Alfadhli, M. and Alali, M. (2021). The Effect of Bank Size on Financial Performance: A Case Study oKuwaiti. *Journal of Insurance and Financial Management*, 4(3): 11-15.
- [4] Almaqtari, F.A., Al-Homaidi, E.A., Tabash, M.I. and Farhan, N.H. (2019). The determinants of profitability of Indian commercial banks: A panel data approach. *Int. J. Financ. Econ.*, 24: 168–185.
- [5] Al-Matari, E. M, Al-Swidi, A. K and Bt Fadzil, F. H. (2014). The Measurements of Firm Performance's Dimensions. *Asian Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 6(1): 24-49.
- [6] Almazari, A.A. (2014). Impact of Internal Factors on Bank Profitability: Comparative Study between Saudi Arabia and Jordan. Journal of Applied Finance and Banking, 4 (1): 7.
- [7] Barmuta, K. *et al.* (2019). Mathematical Model of Optimizing the Balance Sheet Structure of the Russian Banking System with Allowance for The Foreign Exchange Risk Levels. *Entrep. Sustain. Issues* 7, 1.
- [8] Chen, S., Nazir, M.I., Hashmi, S.H. and Shaikh, R. (2019). Bank Competition, Foreign Bank Entry, and Risk-Taking Behavior: Cross Country Evidence. J. *Risk Financ. Manag.*, 12, 106.
- [9] Chen, Y. (2024). Global Financial Crisis: Unravelling Corporate.Governance Failures. *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management*, 13(1): 202 267.
- [10] Choydhry M. (2018). Bank Asset-Liability and Liquidity Risk Management, in book: Asset and Liability Management Handbook. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1057/9780230307230_2
- [11] Chuma, N. and Yahaya, O. A. (2024). The Influence of Gender Diversity on Earnings Management.
- [12] Dewasurendra, S., Judice, P. and Zhu, Q. (2019). The Optimum Leverage Level of the Banking Sector. *Risks*, 7, 51.
- [13] Dsouza, S., Rabbani, M.R., Hawaldar, I.T. and Jain, A.K. (2022). Impact of Bank Efficiency on the Profitability of the Banks in India: An Empirical Analysis Using Panel Data Approach. *Int. J. Financ. Stud.* 10.
- [14] Ebire, K., Musa, A. A. and Onmonya, L. (2024). Moderating Effect of Board Independence on The Relationship Between Firm Attributes and Tax Aggressiveness: Empirical Evidence from The Nigerian Banks. *International Journal of Accounting and Finance Review*, 15(1): 1-9.
- [15] Ilmiani, A. and Meliza, M. (2022). The influence of banking risk on efficiency: the moderating role of inflation rate. *Indonesian Journal of Economics, Social, 4*(1): 73-84.
- [16] Isamail, M. Z., *et al.* (2023). The Role of Asset-Liability Management on Financial Stability in Malaysia. *Social Sciences*, *13*(5): 2439-2446.
- [17] Issah, M., Antwi, S., and McMillan, D. (2017). Role of macroeconomic variables on firms' performance: Evidence from the UK. Cogent Economics & Finance, 5(1). https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2017.140558
- [18] Kazeem B. L. O. and Adeoye E.O. (2020). Effect of Assets and Liabilities Management on the Financial Performance of Deposit Money Banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Academic Accounting, Finance and Management Research* (IJAAFMR), 4(3): 99-112.
- [19] Kumar, M. and Yadav, Ch.G. (2013). Liquidity Risk Management In Bank: A Conceptual Framework. AIMAJournal of Management and Research, 7(2/4).
- [20] Li, X., Lu, T. and Lin, J.H. (2022). Bank Interest Margin and Green Lending Policy under Sunflower Management. *Sustainability*,14, 8643.
- [21] Lysiak, L. *et al.* (2022). Banking Risks in the Asset and Liability Management System. *J. Risk Financ. Manag.* 15, 265.

- [22] Mcclure, B. (2021). How to Use ROA to Judge a Company's Financial Performance, Available at: https://www.investopedia.com/articles/fundamental/04/012804.asp
- [23] Mester, J. (2010). Scale Economies in Banking and Financial Regulatory Reform. *The Region, Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis*, 10–13.
- [24] Mulyungi, P. and Mukasinayobye, I. (2017). Influence of Asset Liability Management on FinancialPerformance of Commercial Banks in Rwanda: Camel Model Approach. *International Journal of Science and Research*, 7(11): 400 -434. Available at: https://www.ijsr.net/archive/v7i11/ART20192582.pdf
- [25] Neves, M.E.D., Gouveia, M.D.C. and Proenca, C.A.N. (2020). European Bank's Performance and Efficiency. *J. Risk Financ. Manag*, 13, 67.
- [26] Niresh, J. A. and Velnampy. (2012). Trade-off between Liquidity and Profitability. A Study of Selected Manufacturing Firms in Sri Lanka: *Journal of Arts and Science and Commerce*, 4 (2): 111-122.
- [27] Novickytėa, L. and Petraitytėa, I. (2014). Assessment of banks asset and liability management: problems and perspectives (case of Lithuania) *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 110: 1082 1093.
- [28] Ogan, R. J. and Kornom-gbaraba, M. E. (2024). Board Composition Characteristics and Financial Performance of Deposit Money Banks: The Nigerian Experience. *International Journal of Business and Law Research*, 12(2):49-58.
- [29] Onaolapo, A. A. and Ajala, O.A. (2013). Post-merger performance of selected Nigerian deposit money banks An econometric perspective. *International Journal of Management Sciences and Business Research*, 8(2)3.
- [30] Onaolapo, A. A. R. and Adegoke, K. A. (2020). Asset liability management and performance of listed deposit money banks in Nigeria. *Asian* Journal of Economics, Finance and Management, 204-222.
- [31] Siebenbrunner, C., Sigmund, M. and Kerbl, S. (2017). Can bank-specific variables predict contagion effects? *Quantitative Finance Journal*, 17(12): 1805-1832.
- [32] Surjan, S., and Srivastava, S. (2019). Identification of determinants influencing the performance of MSMEs. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(2S3): 1580–1590. DOI: https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.35940/ijrte.B1288.0782S3 19
- [33] Teimet, R., Lishenga, J., Iraya, M. and Duncan, E. (2019). The effect of bank size on profitability of commercial banks in Kenya, *International Journal of economics, Commerce and Management*, 7 (12): 202 216.
- [34] Wang, Y., et al. (2022). A Robust Optimization Method for Location Selection of Parcel Lockers under Uncertain Demands. Mathematics
- [35] Wike, Kpekpe and Nwonodi. (2024). I Assets and Liability Management and Return on Equity of Quoted Commercial Banks in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Management (IJEFM)* 9(2).
- [36] Wokeh, P. I. (2018). Effect of Board Size on Financial Performance of Listed Deposit African *Journal of Business and Management Research*, 6(2): 29-35.
- [37] Wonglimpiyarat, J. (2014). Competition and challenges of mobile banking: A systematic review of major bank models in the Thai banking industry. *Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 25.
- [38] Central Bank of Nigeria (2021). List of Commercial banks. https://www.cbn.gov.ng
- [39] National Bureau of Statistics (2024). Nigeria gross domestic report Q1 2024.

