# Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields

# Biannually

Volume XIII Issue 2(26) Winter 2022

**ISSN** 2068 – 7710 Journal **DOI** https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref





is an advanced e-publisher struggling to bring further worldwide learning, knowledge and research. This transformative mission is realized through our commitment to innovation and enterprise, placing us at the cutting-edge of electronic delivery in a world that increasingly considers the dominance of

digital content and networked access not only to books and journals but to a whole range of other pedagogic services.

In both books and journals, ASERS Publishing is a hallmark of the finest scholarly publishing and cutting-edge research, maintained by our commitment to rigorous peer-review process.

Using pioneer developing technologies, ASERS Publishing keeps pace with the rapid changes in the e-publishing market.

ASERS Publishing is committed to providing customers with the information they want, when they want and how they want it. To serve this purpose, ASERS publishing offers digital Higher Education materials from its journals, courses, and scientific books, in a proven way in order to engage the academic society from the entire world.

## Volume XIII Issue 2(26) Winter 2022

### **Editor in Chief** PhD Laura UNGUREANU Spiru Haret University, Romania **Editorial Advisory Board Aleksandar Vasilev** International Business School, University of Lincoln, UK **Germán Martinez Prats** Juárez Autonomous University of Tabasco, Mexic **Alessandro Morselli** University of Rome Sapienza, Italy The Kien Nguyen Vietnam National University, Vietnam **Emerson Abraham Jackson** Bank of Sierra Leone, Sierra Leone Tamara Todorova American University in Bulgaria, Bulgaria Fatoki Olawale Olufunso University of Limpopo, South Africa Mădălina Constantinescu Spiru Haret University, Romania Esmaeil Ebadi Gulf University for Science and Technology, Kuwait **Alessandro Saccal** Independent researcher, Italy Lesia Kucher Lviv Polytechnic National University, Ukraine Hardy Hanappi Malika NEIFAR, Fatma HACHICHA VIPER - Vienna Institute for Political Economy Research, Austria

Philippe Boyer Académie d'Agriculture de France, France

Malika Neifar University of Sfax, Tunisia

Nazaré da Costa Cabral Center for Research in European, Economic, Financial and Tax Law (CIDEEFF) of the University of Lisbon, Portugal

**Jumadil Saputra** University of Malaysia Terengganu, Malaysia

ASERS Publishing http://www.asers.eu/asers-publishing ISSN 2068 – 7710 Journal's Issue DOI https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v13.2(26).00

# Table of Contents:

1	D. E. Watt's Reply for Keynes to Ramsey in the British Journal for the Philosophy of Science in 1989 is Defective Michael Emmett BRADY	109
2	Russia. The Background of the Russian Invasion of Ukraine Hardy HANAPPI	116
3	Macroeconomic Dynamics and the Role of Market Power. The Case of Italy Jasmine MONDOLO	130
4	Modeling Commerce in Terms of Chemical Reactions Robert DICKENS	156
5	Credit Liberalization Reform: A Simple Model Aleksandar VASILEV	163
6	The European Monetary Integration Trap: Incomplete Sovereignty and the State-Mimicking Method Nazaré da Costa CABRAL	167
7	Informal Sector and Institutions Muhammed Yusuf ÖZER	180
8	Generalized Fisher Hypothesis Validity for Canada, UK, and Suisse Stock Markets: Evidence from Panel ARDL Models	188

## Call for Papers Volume XIV, Issue 1(27), Summer 2023 Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields

Many economists today are concerned by the proliferation of journals and the concomitant labyrinth of research to be conquered in order to reach the specific information they require. To combat this tendency, **Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields** has been conceived and designed outside the realm of the traditional economics journal. It consists of concise communications that provide a means of rapid and efficient dissemination of new results, models and methods in all fields of economic research.

**Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields** publishes original articles in all branches of economics – theoretical and empirical, abstract, and applied, providing wide-ranging coverage across the subject area.

Journal promotes research that aim at the unification of the theoretical-quantitative and the empirical-quantitative approach to economic problems and that are penetrated by constructive and rigorous thinking. It explores a unique range of topics from the frontier of theoretical developments in many new and important areas, to research on current and applied economic problems, to methodologically innovative, theoretical, and applied studies in economics. The interaction between practical work and economic policy is an important feature of the journal.

Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields, starting with its first issue, it is indexed in RePEC, ProQuest, Cabell Directories and CEEOL databases.

The primary aim of the Journal has been and remains the provision of a forum for the dissemination of a variety of international issues, practical research, and other matters of interest to researchers and practitioners in a diversity of subject areas linked to the broad theme of economic sciences.

At the same time, the journal encourages the interdisciplinary approach within the economic sciences, this being a challenge for all researchers.

The advisory board of the journal includes several distinguished scholars who have fruitfully straddled disciplinary boundaries in their academic research.

All the papers will be first considered by the Editors for general relevance, originality, and significance. If accepted for review, papers will then be subject to double blind peer review.

20 <sup>41</sup> May 2023
June 2023
http://journals.aserspublishing.eu/tpref
tpref@aserspublishing.eu

To prepare your paper for submission, please see full author guidelines in the following file: <u>TPREF\_Full\_Paper\_Template.docx</u>, on our site.



DOI: https://.doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v13.2(26).05

### **CREDIT LIBERALIZATION REFORM: A SIMPLE MODEL**

Aleksandar VASILEV Lincoln International Business School, Lincoln, UK avasilev@lincoln.ac.uk

#### Suggested Citation:

Vasilev, A. (2022). Credit Liberalization Reform: A Simple Model, *Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Field*, (Volume XIII, Winter 2022), 2(26): 163 - 166. DOI:<u>10.14505/tpref.v13.2(26).05</u> Article's History: Received 5<sup>th</sup> of September 2022; Revised 18<sup>th</sup> of October 2022; Accepted 26<sup>th</sup> of November 2022. Published 23<sup>rd</sup> of December 2022. Copyright © 2022 by ASERS<sup>®</sup> Publishing. All rights reserved.

#### **Abstract:**

This note presents a simple setup of credit liberalization. We find that the effect is not uniform but depends on the level of GDP. In other words, the model predicts that richer countries benefit more than poor countries from opening up their capital account. This finding has important policy implications, as it suggests that developing economies should be cautious when it comes to the liberalization of their capital account.

Keywords: credit liberalization; capital account reform.

JEL Classification: E24; E32.

#### Introduction

The model follows closely the setup proposed by Adam (2009) in the discussion of Abiad, Leigh and Mody (2009). The setup is a 2-period model, where the insights can be easily extended to a multiperiod setup.

$\max_{\substack{(c_1,c_2,d,k)}}$	$\ln c_1$	$+\beta \ln c_2$	(1)
-----------------------------------	-----------	------------------	-----

s.t.

 $c_1 \le y_1 + d - k \tag{2}$ 

$$c_2 \le Ak - dR \tag{3}$$

$$d \le \theta \frac{y_2}{R} = \theta \frac{Ak}{R} \tag{4}$$

where  $0 < \beta < 1$  is the discount factor, and  $c_1, c_2$  are consumption levels in period 1 and 2, respectively. The gross interest rate is 1 + r = R > 1 (Where r denotes the net interest rate) is exogenously given, as well as  $y_1$ , which is the level of output in period 1.  $y_2 = Ak$  is the output in period 2, which is endogenously determined by an Ak-type production function, where A is the level of total factor productivity in period 2, and k denotes (both investment and) the stock of physical capital. Thus, A is also the marginal return to capital, with A>R. d denotes borrowing ("debt"). Finally,  $\theta \in (0, R/A)$  is the parameter that will capture the degree of credit imperfection ("borrowing constraints"), with  $\theta = 0$  representing toal exclusion from capital markets. Similarly, an increase in  $\theta$ , as in Adam (2009), will be interpreted as an increase in the country's degree of financial integration.

#### Volume XIII, Issue 2(26) Winter 2022

It is easy to show that in this 2-period model, the borrowing constraint will be binding. The other constraints from the budget set will also hold with equality. The model can be reformulated, and the expressions for  $\{c_1, c_2, d\}$  could be substituted back into the utility function to produce

$$\max_{k} \ln(y_1 + \theta \frac{Ak}{R} - k) + \beta \ln(Ak - \theta Ak)$$
(5)

FOC:

$$k: \frac{\theta_{\overline{R}}^{A} - 1}{y_1 + \theta_{\overline{R}}^{Ak} - k} + \beta \frac{A - \theta A}{Ak - \theta Ak} = 0$$
(6)

or

$$\frac{\theta_R^A - 1}{y_1 + \theta_R^A - k} + \frac{\beta}{k} = 0, \tag{7}$$

Rearranging

$$(1 - \theta \frac{A}{R}) \quad k - \beta(\theta \frac{Ak}{R} - k) = \beta y_1, \tag{8}$$

$$(1 - \theta \frac{A}{R}) \quad k + \beta k (1 - \theta \frac{A}{R}) = \beta y_1, \tag{9}$$

$$(1+\beta)(1-\theta\frac{A}{R}) \quad k = \beta y_1, \tag{10}$$

$$k = \frac{\beta y_1}{(1+\beta)(\frac{\theta A}{R}-1)},\tag{11}$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{y_1} = \frac{\theta Ak}{Ry_1} = \frac{\theta A}{R} \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta)(1-\theta\frac{A}{R})} = \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta)(\frac{\theta A}{R}-1)},$$
(12)

The implied gross growth rate of output (per capita) is:

$$1 + g = \frac{y_2}{y_1} = \frac{Ak}{y_1} = \frac{A}{y_1} \frac{\beta y_1}{(1+\beta)(\frac{\theta A}{R} - 1)} = \frac{\beta A}{(1+\beta)(\frac{\theta A}{R} - 1)},$$
(13)

Relaxing the credit constraint then leads to higher growth:

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\beta A}{1+\beta} \left[ \frac{1}{(1-\theta \frac{A}{R})^2} \right] \frac{A}{R},\tag{14}$$

This is because an increase in  $\theta$  increases borrowing (d), or

$$\frac{\partial d}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\beta y_1}{1+\beta} \left[ \frac{1}{\left(\frac{R}{\theta A} - 1\right)^2} \right] \frac{R}{\theta^2 A} > 0, \tag{15}$$

which in turn increases investment in capital k, as

$$\frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\beta y_1}{1+\beta} \left[ \frac{1}{(1-\theta \frac{A}{R})^2} \right] \frac{A}{R} > 0, \tag{16}$$

and thus increases period-2 output:

$$\frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial (Ak)}{\partial \theta} = A \frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\beta A y_1}{1+\beta} \left[ \frac{1}{(1-\theta \frac{A}{R})^2} \right] \frac{A}{R} > 0,$$
(17)

Given the exogenously given  $y_1$ , then it leads to higher growth. This is also the transmission channel that the empirical strategy tries to capture in Abiad *et al.* (2009).

#### **Theoretical and Practical Research in Economic Fields**

As suggested by Adam (2009), the problem above produces non-linear effects of credit liberalization. The marginal effects from relaxing the credit constraint are small for small values of  $\theta$ , but when  $\theta \to (\frac{R}{A})_{-}$ , borrowing and output growth become more sensitive to further liberalization, or  $\frac{\partial k}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial d}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial y_2}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} \to \infty$ . However, the model in its current smple form predicts that the size of the country does not matter for the effect of credit market liberalization (which is inconsistent with the empirical findings).

In particular, the setup predicts that a country's debt-to-output ratio is independent of the country's income level, or:

$$\frac{\partial (d/y_1)}{\partial y_1} = 0.$$
(18)

As a consequence, there is no interaction between an individual country's degree of credit liberalization and income, or

$$\frac{\partial^{2}(d/y_{1})}{\partial y_{1}\partial \theta} = 0.$$
(19)

Yet, the empirical findings show this not to be true.

In order to make the model consistent with empirical findings, we need to assume that the profitability of investment projects (*i.e.*, the return to capital) varies with the country's levels. In particular, the marginal return on a project is higher in a low-income country (due to the relative scarcity of capital), or: A=A(y) with

$$A'(y) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial y} < 0.$$
<sup>(20)</sup>

This assumption is implicitly derived from a production function, which is concave in the capital stock (which is also per person, as there is an infinitely lived representative agent in the economy).

Some of the countries might be poor because of the existence of borrowing constraints, which prevents them from growing optimally due to the inability to invest and accumulate the efficient level of physical capital. With this extension

$$k = \frac{\beta y_1}{(1+\beta)(1-\theta\frac{A(y)}{R})}$$
(21)

and

$$\frac{d}{y_1} = \frac{\beta}{(1+\beta)(\frac{R}{\theta A(y)}-1)} = \frac{\beta \theta A(y)}{(1+\beta)(R-\theta A(y))},$$
(22)

which implies that

$$\frac{\partial(\frac{a}{y_1})}{\partial\theta} = \frac{\beta}{1+\beta} \frac{\theta A'(y)}{(1+\beta)(R-\theta A(y))^2} < 0,$$
(23)

which implies that relatively poorer countries will borrow more (temporarily). Also

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial \theta} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left[ \frac{\beta R A(y)}{(1+\beta)(R-\theta A(y))} \right] = \frac{\beta R [A(y)]^2}{(1+\beta)(R-\theta A(y))^2} > 0,$$
(24)

so liberalizing credit markets leads to higher per-capita growth, and in addition,

$$\frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial \theta \partial y} = \frac{\beta R}{\left(1+\beta\right)\left(R-\theta A(y)\right)^2} 2A'(y)A(y)[R-\theta A(y)][R+(R-1)\theta A(y)] > 0, \tag{25}$$

or richer countries benefit more from credit liberalization (and grow faster)

#### Volume XIII, Issue 2(26) Winter 2022

#### Conclusions

This note presents a simple setup of credit liberalization. We find that the effect is not uniform, but depends on the level of GDP. In other words, the model predicts that richer countries benefit more than poor countries from opening up their capital account. This finding has important policy implications, as it suggests that developing economies should be cautious when it comes to the liberalization of their capital account.

### References

- [1] Abiad, A., Leigh, D.and Mody, A. 2009. Financial integration, capital mobility, and income convergence, *Economic Policy*: 241-305, April. Available at: <u>https://www.jstor.org/stable/40272541</u>
- [2] Adam, K. 2009. Discussion: Financial Integration, Capital Mobility and Income Convergence", by Abdul Abiad, Daniel Leigh and Ashoka Mody, Economic Policy, Vol. 58, 289-293, April





Web:<u>www.aserspublishing.eu</u> URL: <u>http://journals.aserspublishing.eu/tpref</u> E-mail: <u>tpref@aserspublishing.eu</u> ISSN 2068 – 7710 Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref Journal's Issue DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/tpref.v13.2(26).00