ournal of Research in Educational Sciences

Bi-annually

Volume XVI, Issue 1(19), Summer 2025 ISSN: 2068 – 8407 Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jres



8

Journal of Research in Educational Sciences



Who we are ...

ASERS Publishing was founded in 2010 and is one of the first online academic publishers of Romania.

Its objectives are excellence in research, scholarship, and education by publishing worldwide. We publish for a range of audiences in print and digital formats that work best for them.

Our academic publishing program serves scholars, instructors, and researchers, publishing and discovering research across subject areas in the social sciences, sciences, and humanities.

Mission

ASERS Publishing serves the needs of the academic community with a mission to help them accelerate knowledge for a better, more innovative and knowledge-intensive world, and we do this by facilitating critical information and decision-making for customers in the global research and academic ecosystems, and making science open – so that scientists can collaborate better and innovate faster.

Research is the foundation of modern society and it's thanks to advances in science that we enjoy longer, healthier and more prosperous lives than ever before in human history. We want to make science even more powerful by ensuring it is openly available. This way, society will be able to generate more knowledge and accelerate innovation, health and prosperity for all.

Our core values are a golden thread through everything we do, and are key to achieving our mission. We are unwavering in our mission and act with urgency to make all science openly available. We know that immediate, free access to high-quality research is vital for achieving this. With open access publishing, knowledge is being shared immediately, without restrictions, enabling researchers to collaborate better and innovate faster to solve the critical challenges we face as humanity.

Scientists power modern society. It is thanks to advances in science that we enjoy longer, healthier and more prosperous lives than ever before in human history. That's why every decision we make starts with one consideration: what's best for the researcher – the people doing the science? Our role is to provide the world's scientists with the best, the fastest, and the most efficient publishing experience. This approach forms the basis of our core values, which we believe are crucial to achieving our mission.

Collaboration. We are dedicated to building communities and working together to empower each other.

Innovation. We are bold in our decision-making, driven by technology and innovation, and constantly making improvements.

Because informed decisions lead to better outcomes. We are proud to help research and academic community advance science and improve health outcomes by combining quality information and data sets with analytical tools to facilitate insights and critical decisions. We help researchers share knowledge, collaborate and make discoveries. We deliver insights that help universities, research institutions, governments and funders achieve strategic goals. ASERS Publishing supports R&D-intensive corporations, research institutes and universities with data-led insights that drive innovation.

Serving the research community. We place the researcher at the center of everything we do.

Driving confidence in research. Building on more than 15 years of collaboration with the research community we help ensure that quality research can be accessed, trusted, shared and built upon to accelerate progress in society. We work to ensure information is validated and discoverable so that your work can make a difference, and we create tools and platforms to help you showcase your impact.

Helping people access quality research. We are rigorous in everything we do and committed to only the highest quality standards. Every researcher has a fundamental right to publish in the way that works for them. We offer you a choice of publishing open access or through the subscription model depending on what is right for you.

Inclusion diversity, and equity in research. By bringing together diverse ideas and different perspectives gender, race, ethnicity, and geography we can help you drive progress more effectively. With a diverse group of people creating solutions for customers worldwide, ASERS Publishing is helping to lay the groundwork for more diverse communities in science, and helping you create a more equitable future.

Vision

Our vision is to support the seekers, the changers, the innovators, those who see knowledge as a force for a better, more innovative and knowledge intensive world and building bridges to knowledge - supporting the development of ideas through the research process.

Volume XVI, Issue 1(19), Summer 2025

Editor in Chief Laura UNGUREANU Spiru Haret University, Romania

Editorial Advisory Board

Annalisa lanniello University of Madeira, Portugal

Santiago Budria University of Salerno, Italy

Margarida Chagas Lopes SOCIOUS, ISEG, Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal

Felice Corona University of Salerno, Italy

Mădălina Constantinescu Spiru Haret University, Romania

Piotr Misztal

The Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Faculty of Management and Administration, Poland

Lavinia Motoc Mayfield School Girls East Sussex,

Rachel Price-Kreitz Ecole de Management de Strasbourg, France

Ajay Singh University of Oregon, USA

Hans-Jürgen Weißbach University of Applied Sciences -Frankfurt am Main, Germany

ASERS Publishing

http://www.asers.eu/asers-publishing Journal of Research in Educational Sciences https://journals.aserspublishing.eu/jres/about ISSN 2068 – 8407 Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jres Issue DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jres.v16.1(19).00

Table of Contents

1	Formation of Leadership Skills of Students in the Process of Interdisciplinary Integration Tetiana Mykolaivna ERMAK	5
2	Workplace Diversity Education as a Catalyst for Employee Engagement and Performance Itunu OLU-OGUNLEYE Babatunde AKANJI	12
3	Enhancing Academic Mobility and Innovation in Sierra Leone's Higher Education: A National Policy Proposal for Diversified Tuition Waivers across Universities Emmanuel DUMBUYA	21

Call for Papers Volume XVI, Issue 2(20) Journal of Research in Educational Sciences

The Journal is designed to promote scholars' thought in the field of education with the clear mission to provide an interdisciplinary forum for discussion and debate about education's most vital issues. We intend to publish papers that contribute to the expanding boundaries of knowledge in education and focus on research, theory, current issues and applied practice in this area.

The Editor in Chief would like to invite submissions for the Volume XVI, Issue 2(20), Winter 2025 of the Journal of Research in Educational Sciences (JRES).

The primary aim of the Journal has been and remains the provision of a forum for the dissemination of a variety of international issues, empirical research and other matters of interest to researchers and practitioners in a diversity of subject areas linked to the broad theme of educational sciences.

The aims and scope of the Journal includes, but is not limited to; the following major topics as they relate to the Educational Sciences:

- Educational Psychology;
- Engagement and Community;
- Leadership in Education;
- School Improvement;
- Human Resources in Education;
- Education and Information Science;
- Global strategies in Higher Education;
- Learner's Needs in the 21st Century;
- The Role of Education in The Globalization World;
- Technology-Based Learning.

All papers will first be considered by the Editors for general relevance, originality and significance. If accepted for review, papers will then be subject to double blind peer review.

Deadline for Submission: Expected Publication Date: Web: E-mail: 25th November 2025 December 2025 https://journals.aserspublishing.eu/jres jres@aserspublishing.eu



https://doi.org/10.14505/jres.v16.1(19).02

Workplace Diversity Education as a Catalyst for Employee Engagement and Performance

Itunu OLU-OGUNLEYE Human Resource Department, Elizade University, Ilara-Mokin Ondo State, Nigeria ORCID: 0000-0002-3256-034X Itunu.Olu-ogunleye@elizadeuniversity.edu.ng

Babatunde AKANJI Human Resource Department, Elizade University, Ilara-Mokin Ondo State, Nigeria ORCID: 0000-0002-8936-0090 Babatunde.Akanji@elizadeuniversity.edu.ng

Article info: Received 7 January 2025; Revised 19 January 2025; Accepted 10 February 2025; Published 30 June 2025. Copyright© 2025 The Author(s). Published by ASERS Publishing 2025. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of CC-BY 4.0 license.

Abstract: This study is intended to explore workplace diversity education as a driver of employee engagement and performance in selected universities in Ondo State, Nigeria. During times of fast-growing higher education that need to change with regard to globalization and demographic shifts, diversity education can be used as a strategic lever to create an enabling work environment that fosters employees' commitment and productivity. This research had a descriptive survey design targeting academic staff across many universities. In order to attain these data, this study has adopted a structured questionnaire from stratified random samples. Quantitative data were analyzed to get the descriptive statistics, Pearson's correlation, and multiple regression analysis; whereas thematic analysis was done in case of qualitative data. The findings indicated a positive and significant relationship between workplace diversity education and employee engagement, such that the more inclusive the training programs, the higher the job involvement, affective commitment, and discretionary effort. Diversity education also improved employee performance by enhancing teaching effectiveness, research output, and professional collaboration.

Keywords: workplace diversity education; employee engagement; academic staff performance; universities; inclusion.

JEL Classification: I20; A12; M14.

Introduction

The need for workplace diversity has been brought to the centre of concern, especially in this dynamic and globalized work environment, where organizations are striving for inclusivity to improve employee outcomes (Makudza, Muchongwe and Dangaiso 2020). Workplace diversity education, which involves structured training programs aimed at enhancing awareness, understanding, and valuing of the diverse workforce, has emerged as a critical strategy for enhancing engagement and performance at work. It equips the employees with the knowledge and ability to conduct themselves appropriately in various work environments; hence, making the workplace more inclusive and collaborative, where productivity and innovation are stimulated.

Employee engagement directly relates to workplace diversity education, as it refers to the extent of enthusiasm and commitment a worker has in executing their job. When employees perceive that a given organization embraces diversity and works toward creating an atmosphere of inclusion, they are more likely to act with a sense of belonging, motivation, and job satisfaction (Tortia *et al.* 2022). Diversity education programs help reduce unconscious bias, foster mutual respect, and improve interpersonal relationships among employees factors contributing to higher engagement levels. Such work teams tend to be more productive, usually with lower turnover intentions and have a higher willingness to give their best efforts toward organizational success.

Diversity education can directly impact employee performance in the workplace by strengthening its adaptability, teamwork, and problem-solving capabilities (Maiwada and Oshionebo 2024). Workforce diversity suggests different perspectives, experiences, and skills that, if well managed through education and training, could be translated into better decision-making and innovation. Workers who receive diversity education can interact and work across cultural, generational, and demographic divides more effectively to achieve better performance for themselves and the organization (Köllen 2019). Further, diversity education builds a working environment where all employees feel appreciated and respected; hence, reducing workplace conflicts and increasing performance efficiency

This study contributes to the discourse of workplace diversity education, highlighting that it acts as a catalyst that enhances employee performance and engagement. While much scholarship has been engaged in understanding the management of workplace diversity and the dynamics it unleashes, hardly any have directed attention to its educational dimension-how structured diversity training programs shape perception, behaviours and commitment towards organizational goals among employees. The findings of this research shed new light on how knowledge acquisition and awareness create an enabling work environment for higher engagement and better performance with a focus on workplace diversity education. The timeliness of this research is further emphasized by the fact that organizations in modern society are grappling increasingly with a diverse workforce, hence businesses and institutions have a pressing reason to be more proactive in adopting educational strategies that will guarantee inclusivity and maximise human capital potential.

1. Literature Review

1.1 Context of Workplace Diversity Education

Workplace diversity education can be defined as formalized learning processes for improving the awareness, understanding, and skills of employees to deal with and embrace workplace diversity. In diversity education, according to (Zhuwao *et al.* 2019a) programs are designed to build inclusive behaviours, eliminate unconscious biases, and help employees learn the necessary skills for interacting effectively in diverse environments. Such training involves cultural competency, anti-discrimination policies, and inclusive leadership, which makes the workplace cohesive and collaborative. With organizations increasingly appreciating diversity in driving innovation, creativity, and competitive advantage, the relevance of workplace diversity education is growing more than ever (Luu 2018).

Diversity education can be defined in the workplace as programmed activities improving knowledge, sensitivity, and skills related to workplace diversity and inclusiveness (Köllen 2019). The training sessions and workshops, along with policy mechanisms and informal learning, constitute some of the necessary elements that foster an enabling work culture. According to Roberson (2019), workplace diversity education should act as a strategic method or tool for reducing bias in increasing cultural competence and treating all employees justly from different backgrounds. The nature of the working population has evolved and grown more demographically diverse, demanding continuous learning to optimize diverse human capital.

1.2 History of Workplace Diversity Education: Evolution and Policy Frameworks

The concept of workplace diversity education has evolved through changes in demographics, legislation, and organizational commitments to corporate social responsibility. In the United States, diversity education came to prominence in the mid-20th century following civil rights movements and anti-discrimination laws such as the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The world over, similar legislative frameworks exist, like the Equality Act 2010 in the United Kingdom and Nigeria's Federal Character Principle, which seeks to ensure fair representation of different ethnic groups in public sector employment (Edewor, 2021). These legal instruments provide a framework for diversity education in that organizations have to adopt policies for equal opportunity and inclusion.

Diversity education has expanded beyond compliance-driven approaches to proactive strategies that align with business objectives. Many multinational corporations now integrate diversity education into leadership development, talent management, and corporate training programs to enhance workforce engagement and innovation (Sabharwal 2014). This shift underscores the recognition that diversity education is not merely a regulatory obligation but a strategic imperative for sustainable business success.

1.3 Workplace Diversity Education and Employee Engagement

Employee engagement is a very important facilitator of organizational performance, and diversity education has emerged as an influential means of nurturing a corporate culture that contributes to enhancing employee engagement. In this respect, the research of Nishii (2013) showed that employees who felt that their companies

were indeed serious about their stance on diversity and inclusion expressed a higher level of engagement and satisfaction with their jobs. Diversity education may, therefore, have a paramount effect on enhancing this process, encouraging psychological safety, reducing work discrimination, and facilitating open discourses among various sets of different employee backgrounds. Further, diversity education by the organization makes individuals feel valued and respected, reinforcing an emotional bond between employees and their organizations as stated by (Shore, Cleveland, and Sanchez 2018). This perceived better fit may heighten their motivation to give rise to extra-role engagement or discretionary effort at work.

1.4 Workplace Diversity Education and Employee Performance

The correlation of workplace diversity education with employees' performance is one of the major issues in human resource management literature (Olu-Ogunleye *et al.* 2023). Diversity education enhances interpersonal, cultural awareness, and adaptability skills that make employees better performers. Arts *et al.* (2023)present the case that providing employees with competencies to perform in diverse environments can make employees more collaborative and innovative, leading to better performance. Besides, Ely and Thomas in 2020 argued that such education reduces friction and misunderstandings between people based on their diversity, thus offering a friendly work environment and therefore increasing the output of the workers in the organization. Workers who obtain training on embracing diversity can develop their growth mindset with problem-solving capacities and hence also work effectively among diverse teams as a way to enhance job performance (Sathyanarayana, Harsha, and Sandhya 2024).

2. Empirical Review

Empirical studies strongly support the fact that workplace diversity education has a positive influence on employee engagement and performance. Organizations with structured diversity education programs reported higher employee engagement scores and lower turnover rates (Uhuru, Ehule, and Ned 2025). Similarly, Souza, (2024) showed that teams with diversity training interventions exhibited improved problem-solving capabilities and increased productivity. On the other hand, diversity education has been shown, in a meta-analysis by Adeleye *et al.* (2019); Okilo *et al.* (2023) to positively affect attitudes, behaviours, and knowledge retention of employees; all of these further contribute toward better workplace performance. These establish, in due measure, the pragmatic relevance of diversity education as a strategic means toward an inclusive and high-performance workforce.

3. Theoretical Framework

Several theoretical frameworks support the linkage between workplace diversity education, employee engagement, and performance. According to the Social Learning Theory by Bandura, (1986), people learn behaviours, attitudes, and competencies through observation, imitation, and reinforcement. Workplace diversity education operates within this framework by providing employees with models of inclusive behaviour and reinforcing positive interactions through training and development programs.

Social Identity Theory (SIT) explains how an individual's self-concept and self-esteem are shaped by their affiliation with social groups (Kiran 2023). According to this theory, people categorize themselves into various groups based on attributes such as gender, ethnicity, occupation, or organizational affiliation. These group memberships significantly contribute to an individual's sense of identity, influencing their attitudes, behaviours, and interactions with others. Organizational settings show that workers often identify themselves with either certain teams, departments, or the entire organization itself (Mothe and Nguyen-Thi 2021). Such identifications build their allegiance and solidarity in their groups; this occasionally leads to what is termed an in-group favouritism phenomenon whereby people show partiality toward members of their group in comparison with other groups. Employees may feel a stronger bond and allegiance to their immediate team compared to colleagues in other departments.

SIT further posits that group affiliations play a crucial role in shaping how individuals perceive themselves and others, thereby influencing attitudes, behaviours, and workplace performance (Bharadwaj 2023). The theory also provides insight into intergroup dynamics, including the development of stereotypes, prejudice, and discrimination. Strong identification with a particular group often leads individuals to internalize the group's norms and values, which can sometimes result in stereotypical perceptions of others. Employees from one department may develop stereotypes about colleagues in another department, attributing differences in work style or personality to group characteristics (Owusu, Gregar, and Ntsiful 2021).

4. Materials and Methods

The targeted population comprised academic staff derived from the selected universities in Ondo State. A multistage sampling technique was adopted to derive a representative sample across various disciplines and faculties. First, a purposive sampling technique was used in the selection of universities based on such factors as institutional diversity policies and their commitment to workplace diversity education. In the stratification, random sampling included a selection based on participants' academic ranks, namely professors, senior lecturers, lecturers, and assistant lecturers, who would be represented in proportion. The sample size was calculated using Cochran's formula for determining sample size among large populations, and a total of 336 respondents were surveyed.

It was a structured questionnaire supported by semi-structured interviews to ensure in-depth insights into the issues. The sections of the questionnaire are bio-data, workplace diversity education initiatives, employee engagement level, and perceived performance outcomes. The research instrument used a five-point Likert scale ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree) to measure the perceptions of the respondents. A pilot study was done on the questionnaire for validity and reliability among 30 academic staff in a university that did not form part of the main study.

Data collection lasted for a period of six weeks. The questionnaires were administered using both physical copies and electronic versions through Google Forms to ensure high returns. Ethical approval was obtained from the University Research Ethics Committee before the conduct of this study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before data collection, with assurance of confidentiality and voluntary participation. Anonymity was maintained throughout the study, and participants had the right to withdraw at any stage without consequences.

The data analysis was done using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences, SPSS, version 26 Descriptive statistics were performed in summarizing data on mean, standard deviation, and frequency distributions. Regarding workplace diversity education with employee engagement and performance, Pearson's correlation and multiple regression analyses were performed as inferential statistical tests.

5. Research Methodology

For this study, quantitative methods were used to collect data from employees of selected universities in Ondo state. The quantitative data collected from the surveys will then be analysed using statistical techniques such as correlation analysis and regression analysis (Kumasey 2014).

The Taro Yamane Formula was used in the sample size determination.

$$n = \frac{N}{1 + N(e)^2}$$

where n represents the sample size, N is the total population, e is the error term depending on the confidence level, and P = 0.05 is assumed for the equation.

If n = Sample Size N = 2,102 e = 5% or 0.05 CL = 95% Therefore, n = 336 employees

6. Research Results

Table 1.1. Pearson Correlations on the relationship between workplace diversity and employee performance

		Diversity of backgrounds, perspectives, and experiences	Promotion of diversity and inclusion	Employee performance
,	f Pearson Correlation	1	.676**	.629**
backgrounds, perspectives and	Sig. (2-tailed)		.000	.000
experiences	Sum of Squares and Cross- products	196.278	125.909	115.069
	Ν	331	331	331
	Pearson Correlation	.676**	1	.808**
and inclusion	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000		.000
	Sum of Squares and Cross- products	125.909	176.943	140.477

		Diversity of backgrounds, perspectives, and experiences	Promotion of diversity and inclusion	Employee performance
	Ν	331	331	331
Employee	Pearson Correlation	.629**	.808.	1
performance	Sig. (2-tailed)	.000	.000	
	Sum of Squares and Cross- products	115.069	140.477	170.767
	Ν	331	331	331

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 and 0.05 level (2-tailed).

Source: Researcher's survey, 2025

Table 1.2. Regression Model Summary on the critical factors that contribute to enhancing workplace diversity education and employee performance

					Change Statistics					
			Adjusted R	Std. Error of	R Square				Sig. F	Durbin-
Model	R	R Square	Square	the Estimate	Change	F Change	df1	df2	Change	Watson
1	.852ª	.727	.723	.320	.727	172.842	5	325	.000	2.208

a. Predictors: (Constant), Employee resource groups or diversity councils; Clear performance expectations and goals; Training and education programs, Leadership commitment to diversity and inclusion; Recognition and rewards for good performance.
b. Dependent Variable: Workplace diversity and employee performance.

Source: Researcher's survey, 2025

6. Discussions

This study found that workplace diversity is clear to employees, workplace diversity is important for fostering creativity and innovation, ethnic and racial diversity is valued and promoted in my workplace and so on. The outcomes of this study are consistent with the research conducted by (Héliot et al. 2020), which defines workplace diversity as the variations in characteristics among the employees of a business. These variations can stem from factors such as linguistics, race, ethnicity, ancestry, culture, education, geographic area, religion, gender, marital status, age, physical ability, experience, and more. Workplace diversity refers to the inclusion of individuals with different characteristics such as age, gender, race, ethnicity, religion, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic backgrounds, as well as varying talents and limits (Sundari 2018). The study's understanding of diversity aligns with Social Identity Theory (Tajfel and Turner 1979), which suggests that individuals develop a sense of belonging and derive positive self-esteem from identifying with social groups that share characteristics such as age, gender, ethnicity, religion, and educational background. Consequently, this has an impact on their attitudes, habits, and performance inside the organisation (Sahabuddin et al. 2023). However, (Orga and Ogbo, 2012) state that workforce diversity poses several issues for organisations, including interpersonal conflicts, personal prejudices. resistance to change, segment-based communication networks, conflicts between individual and group interests, group cohesiveness, and lobbying. (Chaarani, Skaf and Khalife, 2022) found that inadequate management of workplace diversity might lead to confusion and conflict among employees and management. According to (Shaban 2016), businesses that embrace diversity as a means of fostering innovation, growth, and development are more inclined to provide behavioural support and assist its implementation with increased emphasis, perseverance, and dedication.

Additionally, It was discovered that the work environment, training and development opportunities, leadership and management practices, employee motivation and engagement, performance evaluation and recognition of employees are all contributors to improved employee performance. A study conducted by (Jaiswal and Arun, 2021) yielded a similar outcome, revealing that training and development is an active process that induces a transformation in an individual's behaviour, attitude, knowledge, and skills, consequently enhancing employee performance. The studies conducted by (Hee *et al.* 2019) and (Rus and Băban, 2019) support the findings of this study, which indicate that employee performance refers to the actions and achievements of employees as they fulfil their assigned responsibilities following organisational policies, standards, and expectations. This performance is influenced by various factors, including training and development, employee motivation, effective communication, performance evaluation, rewards, and employee recognition (Zhuwao *et al.* 2019b). This finding aligns with the research conducted by (Idowu and Olu-Ogunleye, 2024) which demonstrated that both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation play a substantial role in enhancing employee performance. (Smith and Bitici, 2017) conducted

a study in the UK banking business, which revealed that employee engagement had a substantial and beneficial influence on employee performance. Nevertheless, a comprehensive worldwide study indicates that unengaged individuals harm both the company and the nation (Hambler 2016). Managers have acknowledged the importance of employee performance in guaranteeing the survival and expansion of a business (Armstrong and Taylor 2020).

This study found that there is a significant relationship between workplace diversity and employee performance in the context of Nigerian Universities. The results of this study support the findings of (Rizwan et al. 2016) regarding the influence of workplace diversity on employee performance. The study found that diversity in terms of educational background, ethnicity, age, and gender has a significant and positive impact on employee performance. Other studies have supported the conclusions of this study and have discovered a favourable correlation between workforce diversity and employee performance (Faloye and Owoeye 2019; Karwal and Tandon 2021; Pal and Jain 2021). These studies have indicated that diversity enhances employee performance by fostering creativity, innovation, and more effective decision-making processes. When individuals with varied backgrounds collaborate, they contribute distinct perspectives, talents, and experiences, improving problem-solving capabilities and ultimately enhancing organisational success (Zhuwao et al. 2019b). In a study conducted by (Adil et al. 2019) in Jordan's hotel business, a favourable correlation was discovered between workforce diversity and job effectiveness. (Kundu and Mor, 2017) conducted a study in the Indian IT sector to assess the influence of a diversified workforce on the perceived performance of businesses. The study revealed that the perceived performance of businesses is enhanced by the notion of gender-based workforce diversity. However, (Joseph and Selvaraj, 2015) conducted a study where they measured workforce diversity using ethnicity, gender, and age. Their findings indicated that ethnicity, gender, and age had no significant impact on employees' performance. If workforce diversity is not effectively managed, it is apparent that it will lead to unfavourable consequences. However, (Inegbedion et al. 2020a) proposed contradictory findings, suggesting that groups with diverse members perform worse than groups with members that have commonalities.

Conclusions and Further Research

The findings of the study have clearly established that workplace diversity is central to creativity, innovation, and performance of employees in Nigerian Universities. Most respondents agreed to an understanding of workplace diversity and how such a concept was important for the realization of organizational objectives. They agreed upon these as well: that such initiatives promoting workplace diversity, like providing gender representation and valuing ethnic and racial inclusion, are well implemented in the organization. This shows that the university is committed to making the workplace an inclusive place where every employee is valued and respected regardless of their background.

Also, there was support from the work environment, as well as leadership and management best practices. Respondents strongly recommended constant feedback with a view to regular performance evaluations and recognition that this helps retain employees in universities who are highly motivated and fully committed to work.

The study also found a positive and significant relationship between workplace diversity and employee performance. It means that diversity and inclusion have a direct impact on organizational outcomes: it creates an atmosphere of cooperation, innovation, and engagement of employees. Critical drivers for such a relationship were employee resource groups, leadership commitment to diversity, and recognizing individual contributions. Overall, the study concludes that workplace diversity is not only a social responsibility but also a strategic advantage that positively impacts employee performance. The findings show that university diversity and inclusion initiatives are effective and contribute significantly to its organizational success. These results point to the need for further investment in diversity programs and leadership commitment to foster a dynamic, inclusive, and high-performing workforce.

Acknowledgements:

Keep acknowledgements brief, naming those who helped with your research; contributors, or suppliers who provided free materials. You should also disclose any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that could be seen to influence your results or interpretations.

Credit Authorship Contribution Statement:

Itunu Olu-Ogunleye: formal writing, conceptualization, literature review, methodology and original draft. **Babatunde Akanji:** supervision, editing and review.

Declaration of Competing Interest:

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Declaration of Use of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies:

The authors declare that they have not used generative AI (a type of artificial intelligence technology that can produce various types of content including text, imagery, audio and synthetic data. Examples include ChatGPT, NovelAI, Jasper AI, Rytr AI, DALL-E, etc) and AI-assisted technologies in the writing process before submission, but only to improve the language and readability of their paper and with the appropriate disclosure.

References

- [1] Adeleye, I., et al. (2019). Managing Diversity in Nigeria: Competing Logics of Workplace Diversity. Advanced Series in Management, 21:21–40. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1108/S1877-636120190000021002
- [2] Adil, H., Ud Din, S., Shinwari, A. and Niaz, M. (2019). Impact of Talent Management and Employees. Retention on Organizational Performance: A Case of Kabul-Based Public and Private Banks. *Irssh* 9 (8).
- [3] Armstrong, M., and Taylor, S. (2020). Armstrong's Handbook of Human Resource Management Practice. Kogan Page Publishers.
- [4] Arts, Gertie H. P., et al. (2023). Diversity in Research: A Catalyst to Innovation in Science Education. In Proceedings of the 6th Biennial Conference of Organization for Women in Science for the Developing World Nigeria (OWSD 2023), Series: Advances in Biological Sciences Research, 49–68. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-306-1_4</u>
- [5] Bandura, A. (1986). Social Learning: Theory. *Encyclopedia of Animal Behavior*, 380–86. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813251-7.00057-2</u>
- [6] Bharadwaj, S. (2023). Influence of Training and Development Interventions on Employee Retention an Employer Brand-Based Agenda. LBS Journal of Management and Research, 1 (1): 1–14. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.1108/LBSJMR-12-2022-0080</u>
- [7] Chaarani, H., Skaf, Y. A. and Khalife, D. (2022). Impact of Workforce Diversity on Innovation, 12 (2): 68–96. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-6684-3657-8.ch004</u>
- [8] Faloye, D. O., and Owoeye, I. (2019). Diversity, Work-Life Balance and Employees' Performance in Nigeria: A Survey of Tertiary Institutions and Banks in Ondo State. *International Journal of Business and Management Studies*, no. August 2019.
- Hambler, A. (2016). Managing Workplace Religious Expression within the Legal Constraints. *Employee Relations*, 38 (3): 406–19. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-03-2015-0054</u>
- [10] Hee, O., et al. (2019). Exploring the Impact of Communication on Employee Performance. International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering, 8 (2): 654–658.
- [11] Héliot, Y. F., et al. (2020). Religious Identity in the Workplace: A Systematic Review, Research Agenda, and Practical Implications. Human Resource Management, 59 (2): 153–73. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.1002/hrm.21983</u>
- [12] Idowu, S.A., and Olu-Ogunleye, I. (2024). Employees' Motivation as A Determinant of Work Attitude and Performance in Elizade University. *Journal of Business Theory and Practice*, 12 (2): 1–17.
- [13] Inegbedion, H., et al. (2020). Managing Diversity for Organizational Efficiency. SAGE Open, 10 (1): 1–10. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244019900173</u>
- [14] Jaiswal, A., and Arun, C.J. (2021). Potential of Artificial Intelligence for Transformation of the Education System in India. International Journal of Education and Development Using Information and Communication Technology (IJEDICT), 17 (1): 142–58.

- [15] Darwin, J. and Palanisamy Chinnathambi Selvaraj. (2015). The Effects of Work Force Diversity on Employee Performance in Singapore Organisations. *International Journal of Business Administration*, 6 (2): 1–14. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.5430/ijba.v6n2p17</u>
- [16] Karwal, R., and Tandon, S. (2021). Impact of Workforce Diversity on Employee Performance: A Study on IT Companies. Asian Journal of Multidisciplinary Research & Review, 3 (3): 86–102.
- [17] Kiran, P B Narendra. (2023). Investigating The Impact of Employee Diversity on Organizational Performance: A Human Resource Perspective. *Journal of Survey in Fisheries Sciences*, 10 (1S): 5085–95.
- [18] Köllen, T. (2019). Diversity Management: A Critical Review and Agenda for the Future. Journal of Management Inquiry.
- [19] Kumasey, A. S. (2014). Service Quality and Customer Satisfaction : Empirical Evidence from Ghanaian Public Service. European Journal of Business and Management, 6 (6): 172–81.
- [20] Kundu, S., and Mor, A. (2017). Workforce Diversity and Organizational Performance: A Study of IT Industry in India. *Emerald Publishing Limited*, 39 (2): 160–83. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1108/ER-06-2015-0114</u>
- [21] Luu, T. T. (2018). Employees 'Green Recovery Performance : The Roles of Green HR Practices and Serving Culture. Journal of Sustainable Tourism, 26(8): 1308-1324. DOI: <u>10.1080/09669582.2018.1443113</u>
- [22] Maiwada, A. A., and Oshionebo, B. 2024. Internal Communication as a Catalyst for Employee Engagement and Performance in Paramilitary Organisations. Article in Budapest International Research and Critics Institute (BIRCI-Journal) Humanities and Social Sciences. DOI: https://doi.org/10.33258/birci.v7i4.7982
- [23] Makudza, F., Muchongwe, N. and Dangaiso, P. (2020). Workforce Diversity: A Springboard for Employee Productivity and Customer Experience*. *Journal of Industrial Disribution & Business*, 11 (10): 49–58. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.13106/jidb.2020.vol11.no10.49</u>
- [24] Mothe, C., and Nguyen-Thi, T. U. (2021). Does Age Diversity Boost Technological Innovation? Exploring the Moderating Role of HR Practices. *European Management Journal*, 39 (6): 829–43. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2021.01.013</u>
- [25] Okilo, A., Kakatei, P. J., Tubonimi, O. A. and Bariweni, T. (2023). Workplace Diversity Management and Organisational Performance. *Research Journal of Management Practice*, 3 (4): 1–7.
- [26] Olu-Ogunleye, I., et al. (2023). Effect of Workplace Diversity on Organizational Performance in Selected Private Universities in Southwestern Nigeria. LASU Journal of Employment Relations & Human Resource Management, 4 (2): 101–23. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.36108/ljerhrm/3202.04.0280</u>
- [27] Orga, C. C., and Ogbo, A. I. (2012). Evaluating the Challenges of Human Resource Management in Nigeria. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 4 (13): 78–86.
- [28] Owusu, V. K., Gregar, A. and Ntsiful, A. (2021). Organizational Diversity and Competency-Based Performance: The Mediating Role of Employee Commitment and Job Satisfaction. *Management and Marketing*, 16 (4): 352– 69. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.2478/mmcks-2021-0021</u>
- [29] Pal, S. and Jain, M. K. (2021). Impact of Workforce Diversity on Employee Performance: An Empirical Assessment of Sugar Mill, Meerut, Uttar Pradesh. *International Journal of Trade & Commerce*, 10 (1): 210– 219.
- [30] Rizwan, M., Khan, M. N., Nadeem, B. and Abbas, Q. (2016). The Impact of Workforce Diversity Towards Employee Performance: Evidence from Banking Sector of Pakistan. *American Journal of Marketing Research*, 2 (2): 53-60.
- [31] Rus, C. L, and Băban, A. (2019). Linking Positive Psychological Capital to Team Effectiveness through Team Learning Behaviors. *Psihologia Resurselor Umane*, 17 (2): 101–130.
- [32] Sahabuddin, M, et al. (2023). Workplace Ostracism and Employee Silence: An Identity-Based Perspective. *Kybernetes*, 52 (1): 97–120.

- [33] Sathyanarayana, S., Hema Harsha, and Sandhya. (2024). Building Bridges of Inclusion: Trust, Job Engagement, Match, And Commitment in Workforce Diversity Management. *Educational Administration Theory and Practices*, 30(5). May. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i5.5153</u>
- [34] Shaban, A. (2016). Managing and Leading a Diverse Workforce: One of the Main Challenges in Management. Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 230 (May): 76–84. DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2016.09.010</u>
- [35] Shore, L. M., Cleveland, J. N. and Sanchez, D. (2018). Inclusive Workplaces: A Review and Model. Human Resource Management Review, 28 (2): 176–89. DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2017.07.003</u>
- [36] Smith, M, and Bititci, U. (2017). Interplay between Performance Measurement and Management, Employee Engagement and Performance. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 37 (9): 1207– 1228.
- [37] Souza, A. (2024). Relationship between Workplace Diversity and Employee Productivity in Brazil. *European Journal of Human Resource*, no. 04 (March). DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.47672/ejh.2093</u>
- [38] Sundari, R. (2018). Workplace Diversity and Its Impact on Performance of Employees. BVMSR's Journal of Management Research, 10 (2): 145–156.
- [39] Tajfel, H., and Turner, J. (1979). Evaluate Social Identity Theory. Dikutip Dari. Vol. 20.
- [40] Tortia, E. C., Gago, M., Degavre, F. and Poledrini, S. (2022). Worker Involvement and Performance in Italian Social Enterprises: The Role of Motivations, Gender and Workload. Sustainability (Switzerland). 14 (2). DOI:<u>https://doi.org/10.3390/su14021022</u>
- [41] Uhuru, G.P, Ehule, F.N. and Blessing Uwem Ned. (2025). Diversity, Equity and Inclusion; A Catalyst for Economic Transformaon and Sustainability. *Internaonal Academy Journal of Business Administraon Annals*. Vol. 9.
- [42] Zhuwao, S., Ngirande, H., Ndlovu, W. and Setati, S. T. (2019a). Gender Diversity, Ethnic Diversity and Employee Performance in a South African Higher Education Institution. SA Journal of Human Resource Management, 8 (1): 1-8.
- [43] Zhuwao, S. (2019b). Gender Diversity, Ethnic Diversity and Employee Performance in a South African Higher Education Institution. SA Journal of Human Resource Management, 8 (1): 1-8.

ASERS



Web: www.aserspublishing.eu URL: https://journals.aserspublishing.eu/jres E-mail: jres@aserspublishing.eu ISSN 2068 – 8407 Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jres Journal's Issue DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jres.v16.1(19).00