Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism

Quarterly

Volume XII Issue 1(49) Spring 2021 ISSN 2068 - 7729 Journal DOI https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt



Spring 2021 Volume XII Issue 1(49)

Editor in Chief Ramona PÎRVU University of Craiova, Romania

Editorial Advisory Board

Omran Abdelnaser

University Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Huong Ha

University of Newcastle, Singapore, Australia

Harjeet Kaur

HELP University College, Malaysia

Janusz Grabara

Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland

Vicky Katsoni

Techonological Educational Institute of Athens, Greece

Sebastian Kot

Czestochowa University of Technology, The Institute of Logistics and International Management, Poland

Nodar Lekishvili

Tibilisi State University, Georgia

Andreea Marin-Pantelescu

Academy of Economic Studies Bucharest, Romania

Piotr Misztal

The Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Faculty of Management and Administration, Poland

Agnieszka Mrozik

Faculty of Biology and Environmental protection, University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland

Chuen-Chee Pek

Nottingham University Business School, Malaysia

Roberta De Santis

LUISS University, Italy

Fabio Gaetano Santeramo

University of Foggia, Italy

Dan Selişteanu

University of Craiova, Romania

Laura Ungureanu

Spiru Haret University, Romania

ASERS Publishing

http://www.asers.eu/asers-publishing ISSN 2068 - 7729

Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt

Table of Contents:

1	Model of Circular Economy in Environmental Management. Case Study Anastasia SALNIKOVA, Kovalev ANDREY, Valery IOSIFOV, Nairuhi ALMASTYAN	5
2	The Role of Non-financial Reporting in Modern Ecological Problems Updating and Solving Hanna MYSAKA, Ivan DERUN, Iryna SKLIARUK	18
3	Analysis of Water Quality in Batujai Reservoir Due to Community and Business Activities in Central Lombok Regency SUPARDIONO, Wayan ARTHANA, Wayan Sandi ADNYANA, Wayan Budiarsa SUYASA, Nyoman SUDIPA	30
4	Reputation Management in the Healthcare System and Its Impact for Sustainable Development Sabit TASZHARGANOV, Dametken TUREKULOVA, Anar NUKESHEVA, Berik BEISENGALIYEV, Gulmira ERKULOVA	43
5	Sustainable Development of Rural Areas: Assessment of the Investment Appeal of the Region Daniyar KALDIYAROV, Aibarshyn KASENOVA, Stefan DYRKA, Roman BISKUPSKI, Assel BEDELBAYEVA	56
6	Agro-Industrial Complex Competitiveness Management: Based on Sustainable Development Arailym NURMANBETOVA, Berik BEISENGALIYEV, Gaukhar SAIMAGAMBETOVA, Anar NUKESHEVA, Bakytgul AINAKANOVA	64
7	Predicting the Intention to Purchase Electric Vehicles in South Africa Olawale FATOKI	81
8	Job Discipline, Competency, Environmental Instability, and Work Effectiveness in Gorontalo Province on Employee Quality in Tourism Industry Yurni RAHMAN, Irmawati D. ISHAK, Ikhfan HARIS, B. Elnath ALDI, Ayi Srie YUNIAWATI	97
9	Hotel Guests' Perceptions of Environmentally Friendly Practices in Jordan Akram Atef RAWASHDEH, Mukhles Mansour AL-ABABNEH	107
10	The Perceptions of Residents and Businesses towards the Sustainable Development of Tourism Merita Begolli DAUTI, Rron DAUTI, Musa KRASNIQI, Dukagjin NISHIQI	121
11	Evaluation of Touristic Risks While Visiting Ukraine and the Risk Perception by Travelers Kateryna HORIACHKO	134
12	The Impact of Tourism on the Economic Development of Kosovo Idriz KOVAÇI, Petrit HASANAJ, Avni KRASNIQI, Alberta TAHIRI	146

Spring 2021 Volume XII Issue 1(49)

Spiru Haret University, Romania

ASERS Publishing http://www.asers.eu/asers-publishing ISSN 2068 – 7729 Journal DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt

Editor in Chief Ramona PÎRVU	13	Strategies for Developing a Remote Destination: The Sharing Economy in Local Communities DJUMRIANTI, OSSEO-ASARE	154
University of Craiova, Romania Editorial Advisory Board	14	The Status Quo of Sustainable Tourism Development in Phuket. A Qualitative Assessment Kevin FUCHS, Kris SINCHAROENKUL	167
Omran Abdelnaser University Sains Malaysia, Malaysia	15	Volunteering in the Tourism Industry of the Republic of Kazakhstan Assel BAIMBETOVA, Lyailya MUTALIYEVA, Zhaxat KENZHIN, Darken SEIDUALIN, Saltanat TLEUBERDIYEVA, Kamshat MUSSINA	173
Huong Ha University of Newcastle, Singapore, Australia	16	Simulation of Behavior of Hotel and Restaurant Business Staff in the Conditions of COVID-19 Viral Pandemic Liudmila BOVSH, Larysa HOPKALO, Inna LEVYTSKA, Igor KOMARNITSKYI,	186
Harjeet Kaur HELP University College, Malaysia Janusz Grabara	17	Alla RASULOVA Technologies Supporting Pandemic Restrictions in the Hospitality Industry, Hitherto Experiences and Outlook	196
Czestochowa University of Technology, Poland Vicky Katsoni		Wieslaw URBAN, Krzysztof ŁUKASZEWICZ Risk Management in the System of Tourist Business Control	
Techonological Educational Institute of Athens, Greece Sebastian Kot	18	Olga A. TSAPOVA, Valeriia P. KADOCHNIKOVA, Yevgeniy I. KENDYUKH, Lyudmila A. GORKOVENKO, Ruslan M. SHARIPOV, Nefas SAULIUS Assessment of Overtourism Manifestations by Visitors of Russian Destinations. The	211
Czestochowa University of Technology, The Institute of Logistics and International Management, Poland	19	Case from Sochi Alexander Mikhailovich VETITNEV, Dmitriy Valerievich CHIGAREV	218
Nodar Lekishvili Tibilisi State University, Georgia	20	The Influences of Travel Expenses on the Indicator Factors of Sustainability in GMS Member Countries Chaturaporn SIHABUTR, Sakkarin NONTHAPOT	233
Andreea Marin-Pantelescu Academy of Economic Studies Bucharest, Romania	21	Cultural Heritage: A Tourism Product of Egypt under Risk Mairna H. MUSTAFA	243
Piotr Misztal The Jan Kochanowski University in Kielce, Faculty of Management and	22	Food Culture Integration in Menu Plan for a Sustainable Homestay Business Arif Kamisan PUSIRAN, Yuzainy JANIN, Kamarul Mizal MARZUKI, Watsida BOONYANMETHAPORN	258
Administration, Poland Agnieszka Mrozik Faculty of Biology and Environmental	23	The Marketing Efficiency Development to Create Value-Added for Product and Service of Community-Based Tourism. Study Case for Phatthalung Province, Thailand Ratirath NA SONGKHLA, Wit WANVIJIT, Pawintana CHAROENBOON, Panida NINAROON	266
protection, University of Silesia, Katowice, Poland Chuen-Chee Pek	24	Evolution of Hotel Classification System in Russian Federation Dmitry Aleksandrovich KOZLOV	277
Nottingham University Business School, Malaysia	25	Post-Tourism in Booming Indonesian Rural Tourism Industry: A Social Representation Theory Approach	288
Roberta De Santis LUISS University, Italy Fabio Gaetano Santeramo		Tri Wahyu NUGROHO, Nuhfil HANANI, Hery TOIBA, SUJARWO, Mangku PURNOMO On the Problems of Amending the Terms of the Contract on the Provision of Tourism	
University of Foggia, Italy Dan Selisteanu	26	Services during the Covid-19 Pandemic Nataliia SEROHINA, Olena PIKHURETS, Roman SUKHATSKYI, Elvira YEVLAKHOVA, Stepan LYTVYN, Ivan MIROSHNYKOV	302
University of Craiova, Romania Laura Ungureanu			

Call for Papers
Summer Issues 2021
Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism

Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism is an interdisciplinary research journal, aimed to publish articles and original research papers that should contribute to the development of both experimental and theoretical nature in the field of Environmental Management and Tourism Sciences.

Journal will publish original research and seeks to cover a wide range of topics regarding environmental management and engineering, environmental management and health, environmental chemistry, environmental protection technologies (water, air, soil), pollution reduction at source and waste minimization, energy and environment, modeling, simulation and optimization for environmental protection; environmental biotechnology, environmental education and sustainable development, environmental strategies and policies, etc. This topic may include the fields indicated above, but are not limited to these.

Authors are encouraged to submit high quality, original works that discuss the latest developments in environmental management research and application with the certain scope to share experiences and research findings and to stimulate more ideas and useful insights regarding current best-practices and future directions in environmental management.

Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism is indexed in SCOPUS, RePEC, CEEOL, ProQuest, EBSCO and Cabell Directory databases.

All the papers will be first considered by the Editors for general relevance, originality and significance. If accepted for review, papers will then be subject to double blind peer review.

Deadline for submission: 28th May 2021

Expected publication date: June 2021

Website: https://journals.aserspublishing.eu/jemt

E-mail: jemt@aserspublishing.eu

To prepare your paper for submission, please see full author guidelines in the following file:

JEMT_Full_Paper_Template.docx, then send it via email at jemt@aserspublishing.eu.



DOI: https://doi.org/10.14505/jemt.v12.1(49).22

Food Culture Integration in Menu Plan for a Sustainable Homestay Business

Arif Kamisan PUSIRAN Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia arif@ums.edu.my

Yuzainy JANIN Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia <u>zayy@ums.edu.my</u>

Kamarul Mizal MARZUKI Universiti Malaysia Sabah, Malaysia cmrizal@ums.edu.my

Watsida BOONYANMETHAPORN
Graduate School of Tourism Management
National Institute of Development Administration, Thailand
watsida.boon@gmail.com

Suggested Citation:

Janin, Y., Pusiran, A.K., Marzuki, K.M., Sucher, W.F. (2021). Food Culture Integration in Menu Plan for a Sustainable Homestay Business. *Journal of Environmental Management and Tourism*, (Volume XII, Spring), 1(49): 258 - 265. DOI:10.14505/jemt.v12.1(49).22

Article's History:

Received 3rd of December 2020; Received in revised form 26th of December 2020; Accepted 21st of January 2021; Published 22nd of February 2021. Copyright © 2021 by ASERS® Publishing. All rights reserved.

Abstract

Other than providing overnight stays and local activities, the provision of food and beverage is an important aspect in the homestay business. The food and beverage aspect of a homestay has the potential of being a crucial contributing factor towards a guest's experience whilst ensuring optimal monetary return to the homestay operators. This paper presents the findings on meal offerings provided by four homestay operators at Mantanani Island, an island off the Kota Belud coast of Sabah. This study also highlights the importance of food as an element of local culture and as an expression of the history, habits and traditions of a community. Discussions are pinned on rationalising the differences in meal offerings among operators and how this would implicate the operators' profit margins, as well as how the local culture reflects the menu offered by the homestay participants. Finally, recommendations for improvement on meal plans are proposed to enhance the economic benefits of these operators without compromising guest experience.

Keywords: homestay; Mantanani Island; menu; food and culture; guest experience.

JelClassification: R11; L66; L83.

Introduction

Homestay is a form of accommodation business that has gained popularity in being an accommodation option for travellers when travelling to remote locations or where commercial accommodation is unavailable. For commercial accommodation (such as hotels), the meal plan is an optional component-although it is sometimes packaged and priced together with the room component. For homestay operation the meal plan is a compulsory component of its business model. In Malaysia, typically the homestay meal plan follows four cycles that include breakfast, lunch, afternoon tea and dinner. This study was inspired by the importance of the food and beverage component in accommodation operations. While there has been vast research dedicated to investigating the food and beverage component of commercial accommodation that ranges from customer satisfaction to menu

planning, studies pertaining to homestay meal plan offerings have been scarce. This preliminary study intends to establish an initial understanding of meal offerings of an island-based homestay setting. Thus, the specific objectives of the current study are: (i) to identify the meal plan offerings at homestays in Mantanani Island, and (ii) to demonstrate the extent of menu planning to complement guests' experience within the setting of an island-based homestay.

1. Menu and Food Culture

As food reflects the culture of a community, food preparation and menu offering are always influenced by the economic conditions and surrounding environment of a particular place. For example, a community that lives on an island surrounded by the sea would make fish as the main menu item in the daily diet. Likewise, a community living in a valley and exposed to agricultural activities would have an entirely different menu and diet. Based on this understanding, it can be concluded that the background of a certain culture is linked to its daily menu and diet practices. As such, culture and meal offerings through a prepared menu reflect the practice and lifestyle of a particular community.

Food and accommodation are two related elements for tourists. Hence, offering local cuisine could enhance the tourist's experience and at the same time create an additional economic activity for a particular destination. Local food can be seen as a means to explore the local culture, history and people of a visited area whereby it provides an 'authentic' representation of the people's culture. Kivela and Crotts (2006), who studied gastronomy and tourism, highlighted food as an element of local culture as well as an expression of the history, habits and traditions of a community. Hence, typically traditional dishes and local food can truly enhance the tourist experience.

The menu acts as a medium between customers and food service providers as it displays the products offered by the latter. Radice and Arpaia (1986) defined menu as an extension that indicates the personality of the restaurant as it promotes food and beverage that adds value to guest satisfaction. When a restaurant possesses uniqueness, it has caught the heart of the guests —and this will provide the restaurant with good returns. Hence, menu is an important aspect for the success of any foodservice and considered as the soul of the restaurant (Jones and Mifli 2001). Mooney (1994) and Kreck (1984) noted that the menu can be interpreted as a list of products offered by a restaurant and at the same time, it can be a piece of literature or display used to communicate the product range to the customer. However, an earlier study argued that menus are more than the conventional function of a communications and selling tool but also a research and experimentation device that can be studied to increase restaurant profit (Seaberg 1991). Hence, in the context of homestay the menu or food provided by the operator could enhance tourist experience and understand the local culture (Pusiran and Xiao 2013).

The purpose of this article is to highlight the extend of Mantanani Island Homestay operators in Sabah integrate their food culture through meal plans for their guests. It is hoped to shed light towards possible improvement and opportunities to their current practices towards a more enhanced homestay experience.

2. Overview of the Malaysian Homestay Industry

The term "homestay" refers to the concept whereby the guest or tourist stays together with a host family within a certain period of time for a certain charge. The term is usually associated with bed and breakfast accommodation (B&B) because certain criteria are similar. In this context, the homestay host/operator is the family who offers their home to the guests. Meanwhile, a homestay provider refers to the coordinator or agents for promoting the program whereby the homestay guest refers to the guest who stays with the host family. Under the homestay program, the guest will be charged an affordable amount of money for his stay and this rental is a source of income for the family. Therefore, the definition of homestay is where the tourist stays with the host's family and experiences the everyday way of life of the family in both a direct and indirect manner (MOTAC 2018).

The Malaysian Government under the Ministry of Tourism, Art & Culture (MOTAC) has established some required standards or criteria for the participants. Before the Ministry approves a homestay application, the participants need to attend a two-week course on how to run the homestay and the applicant must exceed certain standards so that the tourist is satisfied with the service obtained for the money paid.

All homestay operators participating in the homestay program must adhere to strict rules and regulations imposed by the National Homestay Association. The rules and regulations are mainly concerned with cleanliness, private bedroom, water supply, electricity and proper toilet facilities. These facilities are constantly monitored by the authority to maintain the normal guality of standards.

The homestay program was first officially launched in 1995, when the Ministry of Tourism, Art & Culture (MOTAC) designated Kampung Desa Murni in Kerdau, Temerloh as the pioneer village or 'kampung' for this

project. The homestay program is unique as the guest has the opportunity to stay and live together with the host family. Guests will appreciate how Malaysians live their daily lives by getting personally involved in local activities such as fishing and paddy farming, depending on what the particular kampung has to offer or what the family does for a living (Pusiran and Xiao 2013).

As mentioned earlier, the tourists also get the opportunity to be involved in the locals' daily living activities such as learning to cook their traditional cuisine, paddy farming, amongst others. Such activities are special because foreign tourists, especially those who come from developed countries, would have never experienced them previously. This uniqueness has now become the key success factor contributing to the Malaysian homestay program, making it different from those run in other countries.

The Malaysian homestay program has shown evidence of receiving an increasing amount of response from participants. From the records in 2017, MOTAC recorded 3,994 participants registered for this program (MOTAC 2018). However, the number of homestay participants had increased to 4,210 participants in 2019 (Tourism Malaysia Statistics 2020). This is a positive move towards the promotion of Malaysia as a tourist destination, and good collaboration between the government, agents and operators will help the program become more successful. The economic income of the participating families would also increase accordingly. In summary, the homestay program represents the government's effort in encouraging rural community involvement in the tourism industry, which will in turn increase the said community's living income.

3. The Homestay Industry in Sabah

The homestay program in Sabah was officially launched in Sabah in 1996 as a new tourism product to generate side income for the local homestay operators and to increase the economic status of the local community, whereby operators needed only a 'ready setup' and little monetary investment to participate. A total number of 406 operators are involved in the homestay programs in Sabah (MOTAC 2020), representing the fourth highest number of homestay operators after Sarawak, Johor and Selangor. Sabah is aggressively promoting the homestay as a unique tourism product. The strength of the homestay program in Sabah is the abundant mix of ethnic groups with different cultures and ways of living that could not be found in other places in Malaysia. There are more than 33 ethnic groups living in different parts of Sabah. For example, in the Long Pasia homestay, the majority of the population is the Lundayeh ethnic group. Meanwhile, the Sungai ethnic group can be found along the Kinabatangan River; the Kadazandusun ethnic group dominates the Tambunan area and the Rungus people can be found in most areas in Kudat. This ethnic diversity is a unique selling point for Sabah homestay industry and if capitalised effectively, it has the potential to offer tourists to experience different and unique types of culture, beliefs and ways of life.

4. The Community of Mantanani Island

Mantanani Islands is a group of three neighbouring islands which include Mantanani Besar, Mantanani Kecil and Linggisan. These islands are located approximately a 45-minute ride by speedboat via Sabah's mainland town of Kota Belud (80 km north east of Kota Kinabalu). The two points of departure are Kampung Kuala Abai Jetty and Kampung Rampayan Jetty. Among the three islands, only the Mantanani Besar Island is populated.

Mantanani Besar Island, which can be regarded as the main island (due to it being inhabited and where all the resorts are located), consists of two villages namely Kampung Padang and Kampung Siring Bukit. Both villages are separated by a few individual resorts and are within walking distance to one another which takes approximately 15 minutes. The majority of the island inhabitants are settled in Kampung Padang rather than Kampung Siring Bukit. Geographically-speaking, all of Mantanani Besar Island is layered with soft dry sands, with limited vegetation other than coconut trees that are native to the island, which can be abundantly found throughout the island. There is no commercial livestock on the island except for chicken bred for own consumption. Interestingly, the presence of cows throughout the island is noticeable as they are found straying freely.



Source: Google map

Based on the latest national census conducted in 2010, Mantanani Besar Island has a total of 1,100 inhabitants whereby the majority of them are of the Bajau-Ubian ethnicity and of Islamic faith. They earned their living mainly as fishermen. Kumalah *et al.* (2015), in their study on the Bajau-Ubian community in Mantanani Island, categorised their delicacies and traditional *kuih* into sea-based and land-based as depicted in the following Table 1.

Table 1. Bajau-Ubian delicacies

Sea-based	Kima – a type of sea clam (tridacna) Sinagol - Shark and shark liver cooked with turmeric. It can also be cooked with another pelagic fish, such as sting ray (called Sagol Pari instead) or even puffer fish. Kinilau - 'sushi type' salted fish Tehe'-tehe' – eaten raw Lato'- sea grapes Tayum – Sea urchin Baat – Sea cucumber Ikan masin – Dried salted fish
Land-based	Assorted fish (tuna, stingray, etc.) Putu – steam cooked compressed cassava
	Tompeh – Oil-less fried shredded cassava
	Binamban - made of cassava flour wrapped in banana leaf
Traditional kuih	Kuih tahai, kuih jah kuih panyam / penjaram, kuih kacang bertapuk, kuih lidah, kuih gulintah, kuih
	bahulu, kuih tali, kuih batang buruk.

Source: Kumalah et al. 2015

5. Mantanani Island Homestay Programme

Known as the Mantanani Island Homestay Programme (MIHP), homestay operations in Mantanani Besar Island commenced operations in 2012 and is still in its infancy stage. Currently, there are 13 participants in the MIHP and all are located in Kampung Padang. Out of the 13 main operators, 11 are female (mainly housewives) and 2 are male (one of whom has a fulltime job).

MIHP's modus operandi is coordinated by the founder of MIHP. Currently, all bookings will go through the coordinator. Thereafter, the coordinator will assign the bookings to the respective MIHP participant based on an agreed rotation system. These bookings are likely made via phone as the number is advertised on Facebook the sole marketing medium of MIHP. Ironically, despite being registered and certified by the Ministry of Tourism, Art and Culture (MOTAC) a few years back, MIHP has yet to be listed in the official Homestay Malaysia website at www.go2homestay.com. At the time of writing, MIHP has also yet to be included in the document entitled

'Homestay Coordinator Directory 2015' released by the MOTAC on its website, neither has it been included on the Sabah Homestay Association website at www.sabahhomestay.my.

The basic price for an overnight stay with MIHP is RM70.00 per person. The price includes accommodation at the foster family's home with breakfast, lunch, afternoon tea and dinner. Extra charge is payable if one needs to engage in local activities (the price varies based on the type of activity). Additionally, the RM70.00 price excludes the speedboat return transfer between Mantanani Besar Island and the mainland which is priced at RM100.00. The speedboat service is collectively owned and operated by the island resort operators.

From the RM70.00 per person a homestay operator receives, ten percent (RM7.00) administrative fee will be paid to the homestay coordinator (for handling guests) and another five percent (RM3.50) will be paid into the MIHP fund. Hence for each paying guest, a homestay operator will have a gross income of RM59.50 (RM70-RM10.50) per person. The payment is on cash terms only and paid directly to the homestay host on the last day of stay.

6. Data Collection

Data collection for findings presented in this article stemmed from a field trip to Mantanani Besar Island by a group of 28 researchers from the Small Islands Research Centre (SIRC) of Universiti Malaysia Sabah (UMS) from 8th to 10th April 2019. The objectives of the field trip were to formulate and promote policies to the State Government for the preservation and conservation of small islands in Sabah for future generations. In response to the policies of the State Government, many aspects were chosen to be explored, hence the presence of researchers with expertise ranging from science and business to arts on the team.

The findings were obtained from two perspectives, that of the guests and of the host. The guests' perspective included feedback from four representatives that stayed at four different homestays respectively. The participants were given a self-completion open-ended questionnaire on their last day on the island while waiting for the speedboats to go back to the mainland. The questionnaire required them to recall and write down the meals prepared by their hosts throughout their stay. The second research input was obtained from unstructured interviews with the MIHP coordinator.

7. Findings and Discussion

The findings, as presented in Table 2, are a comparison of meal plan menus for four homestays, at which the participants stayed on Mantanani Besar Island. The homestays are labelled as A, B, C and D. As mentioned earlier, after deducting RM10.50 (coordinator fee and MIHP fund) from RM70.00 charged per guest per night, the gross income for each guest per night is RM59.50. Homestay D had the highest gross income as six guests stayed there for two nights, earning the homestay a total income of RM714.00. This was followed by homestays A and C with five guests each, which generated RM595.00 respectively. Homestay C earned the least with RM357.00 as it only hosted three guests. This uneven allocation of guests between homestays may have been contributed by the guests' requests (in this case SIRC-UMS) that takes into account factors such as gender and division of research cluster (to ease communications and discussion).

	Homestay A (5 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 5 pax x 2 nights = RM595.00	Homestay B (3 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 3 pax x 2 nights = RM357.00	Homestay C (5 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 5 pax x 2 nights = RM595.00	Homestay D (6 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 6 pax x 2 nights = RM714.00
		1st Day		
Lunch	Fresh coconut drink, rice, tuna cooked in sauce, Napa (long) cabbage, mango salad, soft drink (orange) 1.5 litres	Rice, travelly cooked with chilli-hot relish (sambal), squid salad, cabbage, squid, cordial drink	Rice, tuna, vegetables (cabbage), plain water	Rice, abalone, fish, vegetables, cordial drink (syrup)
Tea	Coffee, biscuits (purchased from village stall)	Coffee and tea, sugar- coated 'snail-shaped' cake	Coffee, biscuits	3 in 1 drinks (Nescafe, Milo), crackers
Dinner	Rice, chicken in soy sauce, long beans	Rice, sambal dried fish tuna in turmeric soup, salad, mixed vegetables	Rice, abalone, sea snails, fish soup	Rice, chicken, vegetables, cordial drink (syrup)

Table 2. Meal plan menus of four Mantanani Island homestay operators

	Homestay A (5 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 5 pax x 2 nights = RM595.00	Homestay B (3 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 3 pax x 2 nights = RM357.00	Homestay C (5 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 5 pax x 2 nights = RM595.00	Homestay D (6 pax) Gross income: RM59.50 x 6 pax x 2 nights = RM714.00	
Breakfast	Fried noodle (rice vermicelli), sausage/frankfurter, coffee	Fried rice and fried noodle (rice vermicelli), fried egg, coffee and tea	Donut, banana fritters (purchased from village stall) coffee and tea	Fried noodle, bread, 3- in-1 drinks (Nescafe, Milo)	
Lunch	Rice, bittermelon, abalone in soy sauce, tuna in turmeric soup, lime, soft drink (Coke) 1.5 litres	Rice, curry (<i>gulai</i>) grouper, salted fish in chilli-hot relish (<i>sambal</i>), mixed vegetables	Rice, mango salad, cabbage	Rice, chicken, vegetables, cordial drink (syrup)	
Tea	3-in-1 drinks (Nescafe) – biscuits	Coffee and tea, traditional cake (kuih) (purchased from village stall)	Coffee, biscuits	3-in-1 drinks (Nescafe, Milo), crackers	
Dinner	Dinner Barbeque – tuna, travelly, sea snails, rice, cordial drink (syrup), plain water (28 pax) Cultural Performance				
3 rd Day					
Breakfast	Assorted traditional cake and coffee	(Brunch) Fried rice with sausage, fried noodles (rice vermicelli), omelette,	Traditional cake (purchased from village stall), homemade pumpkin fritters	Fried noodle, bread, 3- in-1 drinks (Nescafe, Milo)	
Lunch	Rice, fried tuna, vegetables	salted fish in chilli-hot relish (sambal), coffee and tea	Rice, oyster, fish, vegetables, plain water	Rice, abalone, lobster, vegetables, cordial drink (syrup)	

In the context the SIRC-UMS group staying at MIHP, paying RM70.00 per person per night entitled them to an average of four daily meals that included breakfast, lunch, afternoon tea and dinner (one dinner was in a form of a seafood barbeque set for guests of all the homestays). Meal times varied between homestays and were dependent on the arrangements between the respective guests and the homestay hosts. Rice and noodles were the most common food servings in all homestays.

Breakfast

Fried noodles served with coffee and tea was the most popular menu item for breakfast among the homestay hosts, possible because fried noodles is the most cost effective and easiest to prepare. Nevertheless, participants from homestay A highlighted that the fried noodles were plain (with limited condiments) however, this was compensated by the accompaniment of fried sausages. Meanwhile, Homestay C never offered fried noodles; instead the host served *kuih* such as homemade doughnuts, banana fritters and pumpkin fritters. Homestay D was the only host which provided bread as a breakfast option; this appears to be an attempt to go the extra mile for the guests' benefit as bread is not part of the Bajau-Ubian regular diet.

Afternoon Tea

Afternoon tea was normally served around 4 p.m. comprising coffee and tea, biscuits, crackers and *kuih*. The majority of the hosts opted to provide ready-made purchased snacks and *kuih*. The *kuih* in particular was bought from fellow villagers at Kampung Padang. Although on a micro level, this demonstrated the multiplier effect of MIHP on the local economy.

Lunch and Dinner

For heavy meals such as lunch and (arguably) dinner, all homestays served the typical menu of rice, fish and vegetables. The serving of chicken for dinner and lunch by Homestays A and D and sausages by Homestays A and B for breakfast seemed to have strayed away from the spirit of giving guests a 'local taste'. In this aspect, 'local taste' would refer to the delicacies of the Bajau-Ubian people itself or to sea produce, as the homestay was on an island. Moreover, these (chicken and sausages) are examples of supplies obtained from the mainland and may not be easy to replenish.

Nonetheless, the serving of seafood by most homestays such as fish, abalone, squid, oyster, sea snails and even lobster was a welcome effort that may reinforce the 'island homestay' experience among guests. According to the MIHP coordinator, the cost of seafood obtained is minimal and sometimes there is no cost at all if the fellow villagers caught it themselves. This may be the reason why MIHP was able to cater a barbeque seafood dinner during the last night of stay. The inclusion of lobster on Homestay D's lunch menu on the last day would appear luxurious compared to the other homestays, most likely it was an expression of the homestay's gratitude in earning the highest amount of gross income.

Beverages

Beverages provided across all homestays during all meals range from plain water, cordial drinks, 3-in-1 instant ready-made hot drinks to carbonated soft drinks. In terms of plain water, Homestay A provided purchased bottled drinking water and Homestay D provided water sourced from their free water supply – which was pumped from the ground. The provisioning of beverages such as 1.5 litre soft drinks (orange and coca cola) by Homestay A during the two-day lunch seemed to be a luxury compared to the cordial drinks and plain water offered by the other homestays. In the same vein, the offering of self-served hot drinks during breakfast and tea (i.e. the 3-in-1 instant 'Nescafe' coffee and 'Milo' hot chocolate) as practised by Homestays A and D is arguably the most costly on a per guest basis compared to beverage brewed for communal serving.

Conclusion

This paper highlights MIHP's meal plans offering and serves as a preliminary platform to understand the rationale of meal plan offerings and the extent of menu planning among homestay operators. For MIHP hosts, they are able to collectively (although to a limited extent) to provide a range of 'seafood-based' foods which reflect the island theme of the homestay setting. However, the 'land-based' menu that reflects the Bajau-Ubian ethnic group is limited and to an extent was not provided by most homestay hosts.

While there are homestay hosts who tend to provide more than the minimum requirement in their meal plan menus, hence incurring extra costs, their justification for doing so was not included in the scope of this study and is a potential research area to be explored. Nonetheless, it is argued here that the hosts should note that guests would have adjusted their meal expectations when staying at a homestay; if more was expected they would have stayed at nearby commercial resorts in Mantanani Besar Island. Hence, there should be no obligation on the part of the hosts to go the extra mile from 'hosting' to 'celebrating' their guests if it means that income is compromised.

To this end, there is a need to organise an initiative among MIHP operators to instill awareness about menu planning to better enhance their meal offerings for guests. Prior to that, this study calls for a more qualitative in-depth study to be done involving hosts at respective homestays in Malaysia. Other than that, this study also echoes the prospects to study the financial or budgeting literacy among homestay operators. From a broader perspective, there is also scope to perform comparative studies between meal offerings among homestays in Sabah, within Malaysia and amongst homestays in other Asian countries in the region.

References

- [1] Bowen, J. T. and Morris, A. J. 1995. Menu Design: can menus sell. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 7(4): 4-9.
- [2] Brotherton, B. 1999. Case Study Research. In B. Brotherton (Eds.), *The Handbook of Contemporary Hospitality Management Research* (pp.115-141). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- [3] Jones, P. 1994. Menu Analysis. In P. Jones and P. Merricks (Eds.), *The Management of Foodservice Operations* (pp. 205-215). London: Cassell.
- [4] Jones, P. and Mifli, M. 2001. Menu development and analysis in UK restaurant chains. *Tourism and Hospitality Research*, 3(1): 61-71.
- [5] Khan, M. A. 1991. Concepts of Foodservice Operations and Management (2nd ed.). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- [6] Kivel, J. and Crott, J. 2006. Tourism and Gastronomy: Gastronomy Influence on How Tourists Experience a Destination. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 30 (3): 354-377.

- [7] Kivela, J. J. 2003. Results of a qualitative approach to menu planning using control and experimental groups, *Journal of Foodservice Business Research*, 6(4): 43-65.
- [8] Kreck, L. A. 1984. Menu: Analysis and Planning (2nd ed.). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- [9] Kumalah, M. J. et al. 2015. Kearifan tempatan dan potensinya sebagai tarikan pelancongan berasaskan komuniti: kajian kes komuniti Bajau Ubian di Pulau Mantanani, Sabah. *Geografia: Malaysian Journal of Society and Space*, 11(12): 112-128.
- [10] Malik, S. and Kumar, S. (2012). Factors Affecting Menu Planning in Hotels: A Study of North India. Innovative Journal of Business and Management, 1(6). Available at: https://www.innovativejournal.in/index.php/ijbm/article/view/396.
- [11] McCall, M. and Lynn, A. 2008. The effects of restaurant menu item descriptions on perceptions of quality, price, and purchase intention. *Journal of Foodserice Business Research*, 11(4): 439-445.
- [12] Ministry of Culture, Art & Tourism 2018. Statistik Program Pengalaman Homestay Malaysia.
- [13] Ministry of Culture, Art & Tourism. 2020. Statistik Program Pengalaman Homestay Malaysia. Available at: http://www.motac.gov.my/en/download/send/11-homestay/65-statistik-program-pengalaman-homestay-sehingga-bulan-disember-2019
- [14] Mooney, S. 1994. Planning and Designing the Menu. In P. Jones and P. Merricks. (Eds.), *The Management of Foodservice Operations*. London: Cassell.
- [15] Ozdemir, B. and Caliskan, O. 2014. A review of literature on restaurant menus: Specifying the managerial issues, *International Journal of Gastronomy and Food Science*, *2*(1): 3–13.
- [16] Pavesic, D. 1985. Prime Numbers: Finding Your Menu's Strengths, *The Cornell Hotel and Restaurant Administration Quarterly*, 26(3): 71-77.
- [17] Pusiran, A.K. and Xiao, H. 2013. Challenges and Community Development: A Case Study of Homestay in Malaysia, *Asian Social Science*, 9: 1-17.
- [18] Seaberg, A. G. 1991. *Menu Design: Merchandising and Marketing,* (4th ed.). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

